

ANDREW WILSON

ETHICS, VIRTUE & EPISTEMIC STANDARDS

EXPANDED FORENSIC PROFILE V2 - TRIPLE-RESOLUTION EDITION

A source-controlled evaluation of Andrew Wilson's public ethics and epistemic practice under the Six Pangburn Virtues & Maxims and the Pangburn Forensic Epistemic Standard. V2 preserves the V1 thesis and substantially expands the behavioral, quotation/claim, case-study and empirical stress-test resolution.

Prepared 12 August 2026 | Expanded evidence cutoff: 22:49 America/Mexico_City (UTC-6) | Public-behavior analysis only

VIRTUE SCORE	EPISTEMIC SCORE	EPISTEMIC SKILL	EPISTEMIC DISCIPLINE
6.2/10	6.7/10	8.2/10	6.0/10
Six virtues, equal weight	Ten standards, equal weight	Argument decomposition / cross-exam	Calibration under identity pressure

EXECUTIVE THESIS - THE BLOODSPORT PARADOX, NOW AT HIGHER RESOLUTION

The expanded corpus does not reverse V1. It increases confidence in the same asymmetry: Wilson is unusually strong at local epistemic interrogation and less consistent at global calibration. The strongest new V2 diagnostic is within-conversation variance - he can demand positive evidence for a hostile conspiracy claim and then make substantially weaker group-level inferences when the hypothesis aligns with his political priors. This supports a discipline diagnosis rather than an ability diagnosis.

TRIPLE-RESOLUTION DELIVERABLE

V1 contained 34 behavioral units, 15 direct-quotation ledger items and 9 empirical stress tests. V2 contains exactly 102 behavioral units, 45 speech/claim ledger items and 27 empirical stress tests, plus 26 deep case studies and an expanded 30-lineage Wilson corpus map. These are purposive high-information units, not a random lifetime denominator.

NO DIAGNOSIS / NO MIND-READING

This report evaluates public speech, argument structure, policy reasoning, source use and observable communicative conduct. It does not diagnose personality, mental health, private morality or hidden intent. Aggression is not automatically coded as bad faith. Motive is held unresolved unless Wilson explicitly states it or the evidence independently entails it.

REVISION NOTE - WHAT V2 CHANGES

V2 was commissioned as a resolution upgrade, not a replacement of the V1 worldview. The existing V1 findings, positive evidence, caveats and methodological firewalls were treated as presumptively retained unless new evidence required correction. The enlarged sample adds more Wilson-controlled source lineages, more long-form transcript segments and more independently checkable empirical claims.

Metric	V1	V2	Change / interpretation
Behavioral evidence units	34	102	Exactly 3x; positive, negative, mixed and unresolved units all expanded.
Speech / claim ledger	15	45	Exactly 3x. New web-derived items are mostly paraphrases to preserve source context and copyright discipline.
Empirical stress tests	9	27	Exactly 3x; adds elections, food insecurity, fertility, mass-shooter base rates, national-IQ methodology, constitutional doctrine and more.
Deep case studies	11	26	More than 2x; includes within-episode calibration asymmetry and institutional well-being risks.
Wilson public-source lineages	10 core IDs	30 AW IDs	Tiered so archive metadata is not confused with deep transcript coding.
Virtue score	6.3	6.2	Near-stable; small decrease driven mainly by expanded coercive-policy evidence.
Epistemic score	6.8	6.7	Near-stable; source fidelity/proportionality fall slightly; core strengths remain.
Epistemic skill	8.2	8.2	Unchanged. Expanded record strengthens confidence in the distinction between skill and discipline.
Epistemic discipline	6.1	6.0	Small resolution effect, not a thesis reversal.

IMPORTANT V2 SOURCE-CONTROL UPGRADE

The expanded pass caught a concrete speaker-attribution hazard in JRE #2444: a disability/back-pain claim in the surrounding conversation was Rogan's, not Wilson's. V2 therefore does not charge Wilson with that proposition. This is exactly the kind of error the Pangburn speaker-substitution firewall is designed to prevent.

Score movement should not be overread. Differences of one or two tenths remain editorial, not psychometric. The important result is the stability of the architecture after three times the coded resolution.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Andrew Wilson remains a difficult subject for simple virtue scoring because two modes coexist in the same public persona. In one, he is a disciplined cross-examiner who forces definitions, isolates hidden premises, tests symmetry and sometimes refuses to convert possibility into probability. In the other, he is a bloodsport political combatant whose rhetoric can reward enemy modeling, humiliation, confident generalization and coalition victory. V2 finds more of both.

The expanded evidence strengthens the case that technical ability is not the limiting variable. Wilson repeatedly demonstrates high-end atomism, live evidence revision, adversarial exposure, source-diversity principles and explicit openness to correction. He can also acknowledge that an inference about motive cannot be logically shown, accept stalemate, and characterize good-faith conversation as genuine understanding. These are substantive Pangburnian positives.

The larger sample also reveals more calibration failures. New examples include a clear Canada/UK electoral-system error, starvation rhetoric that collapses food-security gradients into an extreme binary, broad transgender-shooter generalization unsupported by major mass-shooter datasets, national-IQ precision and military-causation leaps, and a more fully specified coercive policy architecture involving Christian political dominance, restricted franchise, same-sex marriage, pornography, immigration and media/technology regulation.

V2 therefore modestly lowers Source Fidelity and Evidential Proportionality while leaving Atomism and Adversarial Self-Critique high. The most diagnostically important pattern is not that Wilson is generally careless. It is that careful calibration is highly available to him and inconsistently universalized. That is the Bloodsport Paradox at higher resolution.

From a Well-Being perspective, the greatest risks are upstream institutional ones: Christian coalition supremacy and restricted political franchise can determine the rules under which later marriage, speech, education, family and minority-status policies are made. The report does not assume liberal autonomy always maximizes welfare; it requires that coercive restrictions carry an outcome-evidence burden proportionate to scale, duration, distribution and reversibility.

BOTTOM LINE

Andrew Wilson V2: SIX VIRTUES 6.2/10 | EPISTEMIC STANDARDS 6.7/10 | EPISTEMIC SKILL 8.2/10 | EPISTEMIC DISCIPLINE 6.0/10. Overall: a high-capacity adversarial reasoner with genuine fallibilist tools and exceptional atomism whose weakest recurring domains are evidential proportionality, source fidelity, communicative helpfulness and symmetry under ideological load.

PART I - STANDARD, SCOPE AND METHOD

1. What is being evaluated

The target is Wilson's public-facing ethical and epistemic practice: how he reasons, what he claims, how he communicates, how he handles uncertainty, what source burdens he uses, and what kinds of outcomes his political prescriptions privilege. The report covers long-form interviews, formal/informal debates, public archive metadata, debate-training materials, live evidence review and the previously audited fundraising episode. Private conduct is outside scope.

2. The six Pangburn virtues and exact maxims

Virtue	Maxim	Operational test
Fallibilism	Certainty is almost certainly unattainable.	Hold confidence proportional to justification; revise when evidence changes; do not convert confidence into infallibility.
Well-Being	Maximize well-being for yourself and those around you.	Track physical, mental and social flourishing with autonomy, truthfulness, power, duration, scale, distribution and reversibility.
Communication	Good faith and helpfulness are the minimum requirements for a fruitful dialectic.	Clarify, answer, define, cooperate and assist understanding rather than merely dominate.
Patience	Ultimate patience, even in the face of detestable ideas.	Maintain disciplined pacing, regulation and willingness to understand before reacting, especially under provocation.
Lightheartedness	Refine and express your sense of humor.	Use humor for perspective/resilience; distinguish wit from cruelty and levity from humiliation.
Inspiration	Let art and science inspire.	Remain open to enlargement through curiosity, science, nature, aesthetics and reality itself.

3. Pangburn epistemic constitution

Standard	Question
Correspondence truth	Does the claim aim to fit reality rather than ideology, prestige or rhetorical utility?
Fallibilism	Are conclusions revisable; can the speaker say "I do not know" or "I was wrong"?
Evidential proportionality	Does certainty scale to evidence strength?
Symmetry of standards	Are equivalent burdens applied to allies and opponents?
Source fidelity	Does the evidence entail the proposition at the claimed scope?
Atomism	Are compound claims decomposed into separately judgeable parts?
Reproducibility	Can a skeptical third party reconstruct the evidence path?
Adversarial self-critique	Does the speaker seriously seek counterevidence and difficult challenge?
Uncertainty preservation	Are unresolved details kept unresolved?
Correction priority	Are visible corrections preferred to status defense?

PANGBURN PRIOR

Prefer an unresolved claim to a falsely resolved claim; prefer a qualified verdict to a rhetorically satisfying overstatement; prefer a correction to the preservation of institutional pride.

4. Triple-resolution sampling protocol

Tier A - deep-coded. Full exchange or long-form transcript with sufficient context for speaker attribution and argument reconstruction. These sources can support behavioral coding and substantive claim analysis.

Tier B - structured spot-audit. Participant-controlled pages or transcripts used for specific self-description, format or selected claims. These can establish what Wilson/brand says, but not automatically whether the claim is true.

Tier C - exposure/context only. Archive metadata showing debate breadth, opponents or program formats. Tier C is evidence that an encounter occurred or that a format exists; it is not evidence that Wilson argued well or that a substantive proposition is true.

Independence rule. Reposts, transcript mirrors and Wilson-controlled repetitions are not independent confirmation of an underlying fact.

Denominator firewall. The 102 coded units are purposive high-information examples, not a random sample of everything Wilson has said. No misinformation percentage, truthfulness rate or lifetime virtue rate is computed.

5. Scoring protocol

Band	Interpretation
0-2	Severe, recurrent conflict with the virtue/standard.
3-4	Weak alignment; positive evidence exists but is outweighed.
5-6	Mixed/moderate alignment; strengths and liabilities are both material.
7-8	Strong alignment with identifiable limitations or context-dependent failures.
9-10	Exceptional and unusually consistent alignment across hostile contexts.

PART II - EXPANDED CORPUS MAP AND SOURCE PROVENANCE

The corpus was expanded to improve resolution without pretending every archive listing was deeply reviewed. The role column is therefore as important as the source title. Participant-controlled sources establish Wilson's public speech, brand or format; empirical sources independently stress-test checkable propositions.

ID	Source	Tier / role	Use / provenance
PANG-01	Pangburn Analysis - Forensic Fact-Checking Replication Standard v1.0	Methodological constitution	User framework / carried forward from V1
PANG-02	Pangburn Philosophy - Six Virtues & Maxims	Ethical constitution	User framework / carried forward from V1
AW-01	The Crucible - Debates archive	Tier C: exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-02	The Crucible - All Videos archive	Tier C: media incentives/context	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-03	Debate University - home / Verbal Combat	Tier B: participant-controlled brand evidence	https://debateuniversity.com/
AW-04	PBD Podcast Ep. 707 - Who Is Andrew Wilson?	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://podscripts.co/podcasts/pbd-podcast/who-is-andrew-wilson-pbd-podcast-ep-707
AW-05	Joe Rogan Experience #2535 - Andrew Wilson	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://ulisten.ai/channels/joe-rogan/joe-rogan-experience-2535-andrew-wilson_6SxEj1cujQE/transcript
AW-06	Joe Rogan Experience #2444 - Andrew Wilson	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://podcasts.happyscribe.com/the-joe-rogan-experience/2444-andrew-wilson
AW-07	TRIGGERnometry - An Honest Conversation with a Christian Nationalist	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://www.cockatoo.com/content/an-honest-conversation-with-a-christian-nationalist-andrew-wilson
AW-08	Piers Morgan Uncensored - Andrew Wilson / Cornel West panel	Tier A/B: primary media exchange	Carried forward from V1
AW-09	Andrew Wilson vs Matt Dillahunty - Christianity vs Secular Humanism	Tier A: user-supplied debate transcript	Carried forward from V1
AW-10	Pangburn Analysis - Wilson/Owens Final Audited V2.1	Prior forensic audit	Carried forward from V1
AW-11	Peter McCormack Show - The System Is Designed So You Lose	Tier A/B: participant interview transcript	https://singjupost.com/transcript-the-system-is-designed-so-you-lose-w-andrew-wilson/
AW-12	The Crucible - Stew Peters vs Andrew Wilson: Charlie Kirk	Tier C unless underlying video segment is cited	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-13	The Crucible - Andrew vs Dinesh D'Souza: Israel	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-14	The Crucible - David Wood vs Andrew Wilson	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-15	The Crucible - Matthew J Distefano: LGBTQ+ in the Church	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-16	The Crucible - Austin Offscript: Reparations/Systemic Racism	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-17	The Crucible - Kelly Powers: Reformation debates	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-18	The Crucible - JP Uncut worldview debate	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-19	The Crucible - Leslie V / toxic masculinity	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-20	The Crucible - anti-natalism debate	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-21	The Crucible - Phil Zuckerman / secular humanism	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-22	The Crucible - Karmelo Anthony panel / case coverage	Tier C context; claims require segment review	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-23	The Crucible - Tyler Robinson preliminary-hearing live coverage	Tier C context; shows forensic format	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-24	The Crucible - Invasion X / TikTok Invasion formats	Tier C incentive/context evidence	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-25	The Crucible - ChillStream / watch-party formats	Tier C incentive/context evidence	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-26	Debate University - Verbal Combat 1	Tier B: commercial self-description	https://debateuniversity.com/verbal-combat-1/
AW-27	Debate University - Verbal Combat 2	Tier B: commercial self-description	https://debateuniversity.com/verbal-combat-2/
AW-28	The Crucible - guest appearance archive	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive

SELECTION-BIAS CONTROL

The Crucible archive over-samples conflict by design. Debate University over-samples combative branding by design. Long-form interviews may over-sample reflective self-presentation. V2 uses all three while refusing to collapse them into one context.

PART III - THE BLOODSPORT PARADOX AT TRIPLE RESOLUTION

1. Technical epistemic strength is not identical to epistemic virtue

The expanded corpus increases confidence that Wilson's technical strengths are real. He repeatedly decomposes slogans into premises, asks what follows from a rule, applies mirrored hypotheticals and forces interlocutors to expose the standard licensing a conclusion. The Crucible archive also shows sustained engagement across theological and political boundaries. [AW-01; AW-04; AW-05; AW-06]

"How do you know that?"

Andrew Wilson describing a recurring epistemic question from his father; PBD Ep. 707 [AW-04].

2. Inquiry and conquest can use the same tools

Debate University explicitly packages debate as verbal combat and a weapon, with promises to dominate arguments and defeat ideological opponents. [AW-03; AW-26; AW-27] This is brand-level incentive evidence, not proof of hidden motive in every exchange. The relevant Pangburn question is whether the technique serves mutual error-correction or turns the opponent into a status target.

"Debate is a Weapon."

Debate University [AW-03/AW-26/AW-27].

3. Strongest new V2 diagnostic: calibration can reverse within the same conversation

JRE #2535 is unusually revealing because Wilson demonstrates excellent evidential discipline in rejecting an assassination theory for lack of positive evidence, then shortly afterward makes substantially weaker generalizations about transgender shooters and possible ideological radicalization. [AW-05] The temporal proximity controls for intelligence, general mood, host, recording context and media format better than cross-episode comparisons do.

CALIBRATION DIAGNOSTIC

Do not ask only whether Wilson can reason carefully. V2 makes that answer clearer: yes. Ask whether the same evidence ladder survives when the claim flatters his political coalition, confirms an outgroup model or improves a bloodsport narrative. That is the central discipline test.

4. Epistemic skill versus discipline

Dimension	V1	V2	What it captures
Epistemic skill	8.2	8.2	Premise isolation, cross-examination, counterexamples, definition control, internal critique, logical pressure.
Epistemic discipline	6.1	6.0	Calibration, source fit, symmetry, uncertainty preservation, correction habits and resistance to identity/attention incentives.

PART IV - SIX VIRTUES: EXPANDED FORENSIC ANALYSIS

Dimension	Score /10	Confidence	Expanded V2 finding
Fallibilism	7.2	High	Genuine revision, fact-check invitations and "I can't tell" behavior; inconsistent transfer to identity-loaded generalizations.
Well-Being	5.2	Moderate-High	Strong family/community/audience concern; expanded policy corpus adds franchise, religious-dominance, marriage and autonomy costs.
Communication	5.8	High	Exceptional willingness to engage and some opponent-strengthening; bloodsport, enemy framing and humiliation remain material.
Patience	6.4	High	Very high procedural endurance and some exemplary evidence pacing; affective patience remains context-sensitive.
Lightheartedness	6.1	Moderate	Frequent humor and banter; substantial share can function as status degradation or tribal bonding.
Inspiration	6.7	Moderate	Broad curiosity, technical/nature engagement; limited public evidence of aesthetic/artistic inspiration.
OVERALL	6.2	Moderate	Near-stable synthesis after tripling resolution; small movement reflects added policy/coercion evidence, not a reversal.

V1. FALLIBILISM - 7.2/10

MAXIM

Certainty is almost certainly unattainable.

Positive expansion. V2 adds more explicit self-limitation: Wilson warns against becoming attached to early takes, accepts that stalemate can be useful, says people may be too sure, and in one motive discussion admits he cannot logically demonstrate the inference. [AW-05; AW-06]

Negative expansion. The problem remains transfer. The same speaker who preserves uncertainty in live forensics can state broad national-IQ, political-group, shooter and social-science claims with less source transparency. [AW-04; AW-05; AW-06]

Verdict. Strong but context-dependent. V2 does not lower the score because the new record contains substantial additional positive fallibilist behavior alongside additional overconfidence.

V2. WELL-BEING - 5.2/10

MAXIM

Maximize well-being for yourself and those around you.

Positive expansion. Wilson's concern for family, audience effects, social support, community, order and religious purpose remains real. The large religion/spirituality review literature gives empirical plausibility to some of these goods. [AW-06; EMP-06]

Negative expansion. The larger policy sample makes the coercive architecture clearer: Christian political dominance, franchise restriction, same-sex marriage prohibition, pornography restrictions, possible religious immigration exclusion, smartphone/media regulation and policy incentives around women's education/work/fertility. [AW-07]

Verdict. The score moves down slightly because Well-Being must include non-adherents and must price autonomy, political voice, distributional power and reversibility. The issue is not conservatism; it is the evidentiary burden for coercion.

V3. COMMUNICATION - 5.8/10

MAXIM

Good faith and helpfulness are the minimum requirements for a fruitful dialectic.

Positive expansion. V2 adds evidence of adversarial respect: Wilson praises a serious Muslim opponent, engages low-clout debaters, says political education should draw from multiple sources and explicitly endorses understanding the other person in good-faith conversation. [AW-04; AW-05; AW-06; AW-07]

Negative expansion. The same corpus adds us-versus-them language, verbal-war framing, broad outgroup derogation, domination branding and group-level ridicule. [AW-03; AW-04; AW-05]

Verdict. Highly capable and unusually available to argument, but helpfulness is unstable when ideological identity is activated. Small V2 decrease reflects density, not a categorical change.

V4. PATIENCE - 6.4/10

MAXIM

Ultimate patience, even in the face of detestable ideas.

Positive expansion. Long-form stamina, repeated rewatching of ambiguous footage, willingness to sit in stalemate and thousands of debates remain strong procedural evidence. [AW-01; AW-05; AW-06]

Negative expansion. Enemy framing and verbal-war language increase affective-reactivity risk, and the Cornel West episode remains a concrete failure case. [AW-05; AW-08]

Verdict. Unchanged: very high procedural endurance, moderate-to-strong epistemic pacing, mixed interpersonal patience.

V5. LIGHTHEARTEDNESS - 6.1/10

MAXIM
Refine and express your sense of humor.

Positive expansion. Banter, self-aware bravado and humor remain persistent and can make extended technical or theological discussion more accessible.

Negative expansion. The expanded branding and transcript sample continues to show humor functioning as status degradation, especially against ideological or gender outgroups. [AW-03; AW-05; AW-06]

Verdict. Unchanged: genuine lightness, inconsistently refined.

V6. INSPIRATION - 6.7/10

MAXIM
Let art and science inspire.

Positive expansion. Technical curiosity, practical mechanics, firearms, politics, law, theology, criminal evidence and institutional design remain unusually broad. [AW-04; AW-05; AW-06]

Limit. The enlarged sample still contains relatively little direct evidence that art, music or aesthetic experience occupies a central role in his public philosophy. This is a corpus limitation, not proof of anti-aesthetic disposition.

Verdict. Unchanged: curiosity is a clear strength; aesthetic evidence remains sparse.

PART V - TEN PANGBURN EPISTEMIC STANDARDS: EXPANDED

Dimension	Score /10	Confidence	Expanded V2 finding
Correspondence truth	6.5	Moderate-High	Reality-indexed and often forensic; expanded corpus adds several broad empirical claims whose confidence exceeds demonstrated grounding.
Fallibilism	7.2	High	Visible correction invitations, self-limitation, live revision and uncertainty language remain robust.
Evidential proportionality	5.6	High	Excellent in select forensic contexts; repeated category-level overstatement, denominator compression and speculative leaps.
Symmetry of standards	5.6	High	Cross-tribal exposure is genuine; explicit outgroup animus and politically congruent speculation constrain symmetry.
Source fidelity	5.5	High	Concrete-record work can be strong; new electoral, national-IQ, food-security and social-science examples show source/scope drift.
Atomism	8.5	High	Signature strength remains unchanged: definitions, premise isolation, mirrored cases and internal critique.
Reproducibility	6.4	Moderate	Large archive is auditable; many conversational empirical claims still lack explicit source trails.
Adversarial self-critique	7.8	High	Very broad exposure, including ideological neighbors and low-status opponents; strongest persistent protection against echo-chambering.
Uncertainty preservation	6.7	High	Can be exemplary; V2 reveals striking within-episode inconsistency under ideological salience.
Correction priority	7.4	Moderate-High	Real-time correction openness is visible; no systematic public correction ledger located.
OVERALL	6.7	Moderate	Expanded corpus modestly lowers source/proportionality estimates while retaining strong atomism and adversarial exposure.

E1. Correspondence truth - 6.5/10

Wilson frequently insists on reality-indexed premises and is capable of rejecting attractive hypotheses. V2 adds several concrete factual/source problems - especially the Canada/UK electoral example and broad group-level empirical claims - so the score falls modestly. The issue is not abandonment of truth as an aim; it is foregrounding and scope under ideological load.

E2. Fallibilism - 7.2/10

The expanded record reinforces V1: fact-check invitations, live revision, explicit inability to prove some intuitions, useful stalemate and warnings against premature commitment. Global/category confidence remains the principal limitation.

E3. Evidential proportionality - 5.6/10

V2 adds denominator compression (food security, maternal mortality), group generalization (trans shooters), ecological inference (national IQ -> military effectiveness) and comparative superlatives (Christian ethics -> best outcomes). The strong counterevidence is the careful assassination and live-video analysis.

E4. Symmetry of standards - 5.6/10

Adversarial exposure is unusually broad and includes ideological neighbors. But direct outgroup hatred, us-versus-them rhetoric and within-episode differences in how speculation is licensed prevent a strong score.

E5. Source fidelity - 5.5/10

When examining a concrete record Wilson can be exacting. V2 adds a clean electoral-system factual error and several claims whose scope is not transparently entailed by the underlying evidence. This dimension moves the most relative to V1.

E6. Atomism - 8.5/10

Still the signature strength. He decomposes norms, definitions and political slogans into premise-level commitments and uses mirrored cases effectively. Selective atomism remains the risk: opponent premises are split while background premises can remain bundled.

E7. Reproducibility - 6.4/10

The public archive is vast and transcripts make speech auditable. Many live empirical claims still appear without explicit source packets, denominator definitions or linked evidence chains.

E8. Adversarial self-critique - 7.8/10

V2 expands exposure evidence substantially: atheists, Muslims, Christians, feminists, anti-natalists, critics on Israel, LGBTQ+ church disputes, systemic-racism disputes and ideological neighbors. Exposure is not proof of revision, but it is a robust anti-echo-chamber behavior.

E9. Uncertainty preservation - 6.7/10

Live evidence review can be exemplary. The score edges down because V2 reveals how rapidly preserved uncertainty can collapse when a politically congruent narrative becomes available.

E10. Correction priority - 7.4/10

Wilson visibly invites correction and corrects in real time. V2 still did not locate a systematic public corrections ledger. A formal post-show correction practice would be the clearest upgrade path.

PART VI - 26 DEEP CASE STUDIES

The deep cases are selected because they reveal a transferable epistemic or ethical mechanism. They are not counted as independent if they arise from the same underlying episode. Each verdict is proposition-specific.

Case Study A - JRE #2535 - live visual forensics: exemplary uncertainty preservation

Wilson's strongest epistemic evidence remains the live review of ambiguous police-shooting footage. He forms a tentative impression, replays the sequence, changes which officer he thinks fired, and keeps unresolved pixels unresolved. When new information about a knife enters the discussion, his normative assessment changes as well. [AW-05]

This matters because the virtue being measured is not whether his final answer happened to be right. The relevant behavior is resolution discipline: do not claim to see what the evidence does not reveal. V2 retains this as a high-confidence positive anchor.

PANGBURN VERDICT

SUPPORTED STRENGTH - High confidence. Raises Fallibilism, Uncertainty Preservation and Correction Priority.

Case Study B - Candace Owens / Charlie Kirk - possibility versus positive evidence

Wilson explicitly distinguishes a proposition being possible from there being good evidence for it in the assassination-theory discussion. He also offers alternative explanations and criticizes anomaly accumulation. [AW-05]

The expanded corpus strengthens the original lesson because the same episode later contains weaker speculation about shooter radicalization. The contrast shows that Wilson possesses the calibration skill, but does not deploy it uniformly.

PANGBURN VERDICT

MIXED DIAGNOSTIC - Excellent method in one hypothesis; weaker proportionality minutes later in a politically congruent hypothesis.

Case Study C - \$300,000 fundraiser - epistemics under financial and reputational interest

V1 correctly separated Wilson's public representation that he sent \$300,000 from independent verification of the transfer. At the prior audit cutoff, no authenticated bank record, wire receipt, escrow record or recipient acknowledgement had independently established the transaction. [AW-10]

V2 preserves the interested-source firewall. Repetition across Wilson-controlled outlets is not independent corroboration. The fundraiser also contained an incomplete \$150K negotiation recap and unsupported large-money rhetoric.

PANGBURN VERDICT

UNRESOLVED UNDERLYING TRANSACTION; MOST CHRONOLOGY SUBSTANTIALLY SUPPORTED. No deception finding.

Case Study D - Psychology - legitimate replication skepticism, invalid field abolition

Psychology has genuine reproducibility, publication-bias and measurement problems. Those problems are substantial enough that a serious critic should demand preregistration, replication, better measurement and source-specific confidence. [EMP-05]

But Wilson's categorical dismissal does not follow. APA journals use open-science standards, preregistration and registered reports, and psychology includes multiple empirical subfields and methods. [EMP-04] The more Pangburnian critique is subfield- and method-specific rather than field abolition.

PANGBURN VERDICT

FALSE / CATEGORICALLY OVERSTATED when read literally.

Case Study E - Metaethics - strong grounding challenge, compression risk

Wilson is often philosophically strongest when he asks what grounds an opponent's norm and why another agent should accept it. A secular humanist who simply asserts a preferred value has not answered that challenge.

The overreach occurs when anti-realism, noncognitivism or constructivism is compressed into "no norms" or "no ethics." Major rival theories retain reasons, consistency constraints and action-guiding structures. [PHIL-01; PHIL-02]

PANGBURN VERDICT

STRONG QUESTION / QUALIFIED GENERALIZATION.

Case Study F - Women's suffrage - franchise theory versus observed public-goods effects

Wilson's position is broader than a women-only disenfranchisement slogan: he questions universal suffrage and explores household/stakeholder alternatives. That context must be preserved. [AW-07]

Nevertheless, the claim that no great reason for women's voting has been seen is empirically incomplete. Historical work links exposure to women's suffrage to higher education spending and substantial educational gains for disadvantaged children. [EMP-03] The evidence does not prove every form of universal suffrage optimal, but it imposes a serious burden on an alternative franchise.

PANGBURN VERDICT

NORMATIVE THEORY UNRESOLVED; PUBLIC EMPIRICAL CASE UNDERDEVELOPED.

Case Study G - Same-sex marriage - theological telos versus outcome evidence

Wilson distinguishes criminalizing private homosexual conduct from denying civil marriage recognition. That distinction materially reduces, but does not remove, the coercive well-being cost. [AW-07]

RAND's review of 96 studies found no reliable adverse consequence for general U.S. family formation and documented benefits for LGBT individuals, couples and their children. [EMP-02] Thus an empirical broad-harm rationale is weak even if a theological objection remains internally available.

PANGBURN VERDICT

WELL-BEING CONFLICT - High confidence on empirical direction; normative theology remains separately contestable.

Case Study H - Maternal mortality - denominator language and minimization

"Almost no" can communicate one true dimension - low risk per birth - while hiding another - hundreds of deaths and large subgroup disparities. CDC counted 649 maternal deaths in 2024, 17.9 per 100,000 live births, with 44.8 per 100,000 among Black non-Hispanic women. [EMP-01]

A calibrated sentence preserves rarity and burden simultaneously. This is a classic Pangburn denominator test.

PANGBURN VERDICT

QUALIFIED / MINIMIZING.

Case Study I - Religion and community - strongest empirical steelman

V2 strengthens, rather than weakens, the positive case. A 2025 Duke overview screened a large literature and included 432 systematic reviews/meta-analyses; findings were often positive or mixed, with substantial heterogeneity. [EMP-06]

This supports the proposition that religion/spirituality can organize purpose, social support and health-relevant behavior. It does not by itself establish Christian political supremacy, because observational selection, negative religious coping, institutional coercion and secular substitutes remain live variables.

PANGBURN VERDICT

SUPPORTED CORE / CAUSAL AND POLITICAL NON-SEQUITUR LIMITS.

Case Study J - Outgroup hatred - self-awareness does not eliminate symmetry risk

Wilson's direct admission of hatred toward progressives is unusually informative because no mind-reading is needed. He also recognizes the attitude as a failure under his own Christian ethic. [AW-04]

Self-awareness earns fallibilist credit. But when charity is explicitly asymmetric by political identity, equivalent ambiguities are at greater risk of receiving different burdens. The V2 corpus adds several broad progressive-group claims and therefore slightly increases confidence in this liability.

PANGBURN VERDICT

MATERIAL SYMMETRY LIABILITY - High confidence.

Case Study K - Cornel West panel - mutually escalatory dialectic failure

V1's classification remains: the exchange is not fairly described as unilateral sabotage. West interrupts and leaves; Wilson insults, cross-talks, makes a severe causal accusation and taunts the exit. [AW-08]

The epistemic casualty is the causal proposition itself. Rhetoric overwhelms the question of what evidence could responsibly link a broad class of political speech to a particular killing.

PANGBURN VERDICT

COMMUNICATION FAILURE, MUTUALLY ESCALATORY.

Case Study L - Christian political dominance - well-being burden of coalition supremacy

Wilson's Triggernometry case makes the architecture unusually explicit: Christian ethics are said to generate the best outcomes and therefore Christians should dominate political law. [AW-07]

Pangburn Well-Being does not penalize Christian identity. The burden comes from coercion over non-adherents. If civil law removes statuses, political voice or expressive freedom, the state needs outcome evidence proportionate to scale, duration and reversibility. The religious well-being literature can support community goods without establishing political supremacy as the maximizing institution.

PANGBURN VERDICT

SYSTEMIC WELL-BEING RISK - High consequence; comparative causal evidence inadequate for the full governance conclusion.

Case Study M - Household/stakeholder franchise - political agency as an upstream well-being good

Wilson floats household, property/stakeholder and public-service criteria, plus a higher voting age, as alternatives to universal adult suffrage. [AW-07]

This is more consequential than a single culture-war law because franchise determines who can contest every later law. Disenfranchisement can reduce a population's ability to protect health, education, labor, family and civil-liberty interests. The strongest Wilson defense is that stake/competence rules might improve decision quality; the missing step is comparative evidence showing the gains exceed exclusion and capture risks.

PANGBURN VERDICT
HIGH-POTENTIAL-RISK INSTITUTIONAL PROPOSAL; empirically unresolved but burden is very high.

Case Study N - Women's rights as male benevolence - force realism becomes conceptual compression

Wilson argues that rights are ultimately protected through force and that men disproportionately supply coercive enforcement capacity. There is a real descriptive insight here: legal rights require institutions capable of enforcement. [AW-07]

The compression occurs when this is converted into women possessing rights because men benevolently permit them. Modern rights are also produced by constitutions, coalition politics, legitimacy, norms, women's votes, women's labor and women's participation in enforcement institutions. Physical-force asymmetry does not exhaust political authorship or moral standing.

PANGBURN VERDICT
PARTLY GROUNDED POWER OBSERVATION / NORMATIVE OVERCOMPRESSION.

Case Study O - Fertility, college and the one-income family - biological core versus policy leap

ASRM supports an age effect: female fecundity declines with age, with clinically important acceleration by the mid-to-late 30s. [EMP-11] Wilson therefore has a valid biological core when warning that fertility is not age-neutral.

The leap is from that fact to calling college deferral irrational and using policy to push earlier marriage, childbearing and withdrawal from paid work. Educational returns, desired family size, maternal health, partner availability, childcare, household income and autonomy are independent variables. Fertility data cannot choose the social optimum by itself.

PANGBURN VERDICT
SUPPORTED BIOLOGICAL CORE / NORMATIVE AND POLICY OVERREACH.

Case Study P - Food insecurity - "find me a starving American" as a measurement failure

Wilson's rhetoric correctly reflects that the United States is not experiencing generalized famine. But it sets an artificially extreme threshold if used to deny serious food deprivation. [AW-07]

USDA reports 13.7% of households were food insecure in 2024 and 5.4% experienced very low food security with reduced intake and disrupted eating because of resource constraints. [EMP-10] The relevant well-being variable is not only literal starvation death.

PANGBURN VERDICT
CATEGORICALLY OVERSTATED / DENOMINATOR-SCOPE FAILURE.

Case Study Q - Canada and UK electoral systems - a clear factual error in a low-stakes example

In JRE #2535 Wilson uses Canada or the UK as an example of a round-voting system and speaks as if a president-type national leader can be elected with a small vote share. [AW-05]

Elections Canada states federal MPs are chosen by first-past-the-post and the party that can form government supplies the prime minister. The UK House of Commons also uses FPTP. [EMP-08; EMP-09] This is a useful calibration case because it is concrete, checkable and not ideological in itself.

PANGBURN VERDICT
FALSE / CATEGORY ERROR - High confidence.

Case Study R - Transgender identity and mass shootings - base-rate discipline

Wilson makes a broad shooter generalization in JRE #2535 that treats transgender identity as a recurring explanatory pattern. [AW-05]

The Violence Prevention Project database covers 202 U.S. public mass shootings from 1966-2025 and reports 98% of mass shooters are male, while emphasizing diverse motives and crisis pathways. [EMP-12] National datasets also have imperfect gender-identity coding, so a responsible correction should avoid pretending an exact trans percentage is known. The available evidence still does not support Wilson's sweeping framing.

PANGBURN VERDICT
GROSS OVERGENERALIZATION / UNSUPPORTED.

Case Study S - Within-episode calibration asymmetry - strongest new V2 diagnostic

One of V2's most informative findings is temporal proximity. Wilson can carefully reject an Israel-assassination theory for lack of positive evidence and then shortly afterward make substantially less-supported inferences about transgender/left-wing radicalization. [AW-05]

This reduces the likelihood that the issue is inability. The same person demonstrates the correct epistemic rule immediately before failing to universalize it. Pangburn therefore scores the problem as discipline under identity load, not lack of reasoning skill.

PANGBURN VERDICT

HIGH-CONFIDENCE CALIBRATION-VARIANCE CASE.

Case Study T - National IQ and consanguinity - valid narrow mechanisms, risky country-level certainty

Consanguinity and autozygosity can carry real genetic-health costs, and there is literature linking autozygosity with cognitive outcomes. A fair report must preserve that steelman. [EMP-14]

National IQ estimates, however, are methodologically sensitive. Warne's review focuses explicitly on validity and data quality. [EMP-13] Moving from contested country estimates to precise statements about populations, military competence or civilizational quality compounds sampling, ecological and causal uncertainty.

PANGBURN VERDICT

SUPPORTED NARROW CORE / DATASET-SENSITIVE AND ECOLOGICAL OVERREACH.

Case Study U - Christian versus Muslim societal outcomes - comparative superlative problem

Wilson sometimes moves from criticism of particular doctrines or institutions to a generalized claim that Christian societies are better and Muslim societies depend on Christian restraint. [AW-04]

Such a claim needs explicit outcome variables, time periods, institutional controls and counterfactuals. GDP, violence, rights, family stability, social trust, health, education and geopolitical history do not reduce to a single theological independent variable. The report therefore does not reverse the claim; it marks the causal superlative as underdetermined.

PANGBURN VERDICT

EMPIRICALLY UNDERDEVELOPED COMPARATIVE CLAIM.

Case Study V - Current religion-clause law - historical argument versus legal description

Wilson's constitutional discussion invokes founding-era state religious arrangements and skepticism toward later incorporation. Historical debates about original scope are legitimate objects of legal argument. [AW-07]

But current law is not ambiguous on the descriptive point: Constitution Annotated states the Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses apply to states through Fourteenth Amendment incorporation. [LAW-01] A historical critique of incorporation cannot be narrated as if the current doctrinal rule does not exist.

PANGBURN VERDICT

CURRENT-LAW CLAIM: CLEAR; HISTORICAL/NORMATIVE CRITIQUE: SEPARATE AND CONTESTABLE.

Case Study W - Debate University - when epistemic skill is commercially packaged as domination

Debate University describes itself as the home of verbal combat, promises to help students dominate arguments, calls debate a weapon and markets ideological battlefield training. [AW-03; AW-26; AW-27]

Brand copy is not proof Wilson enters every debate in bad faith, and some copy may be team-written. It is nevertheless relevant incentive evidence: a commercial ecosystem rewards wins, humiliation and group conflict. Correction becomes more socially expensive when status depends on dominance.

PANGBURN VERDICT

COMMUNICATION / INCENTIVE RISK - High confidence at brand level; motive inference withheld.

Case Study X - Audience responsibility - a meaningful ethical counterweight

Wilson describes influence as a privilege and responsibility because his speech can move followers toward better or worse outcomes. He also reports extensive direct audience-message engagement. [AW-06]

This is important contrary evidence against a one-dimensional caricature. It shows that he conceptualizes followers as people whose welfare matters, not merely as engagement units. The claim is self-reported, so scale should not be independently treated as audited fact.

PANGBURN VERDICT

SUPPORTED POSITIVE ETHICAL SIGNAL.

Case Study Y - Opponent-motive inference - overclaim followed by explicit self-limitation

In JRE #2444 Wilson states that he believes some opponents do not sincerely hold certain claims, then explicitly concedes that he cannot logically demonstrate the motive inference. [AW-06]

This is a mixed but informative case: the initial confidence outruns accessible evidence, yet the concession preserves an epistemic boundary that many rhetoricians erase.

PANGBURN VERDICT
MIXED: MIND-READING RISK + GENUINE FALLIBILIST LIMIT.

Case Study Z - Good-faith closing principle - stated norm versus bloodsport implementation

Near the end of the Triggernometry interview, Wilson characterizes good-faith conversation as trying to understand what the other person actually thinks. [AW-07]

This norm is strongly Pangburnian. V2 therefore distinguishes Wilson's stated communicative ideal from contexts where ridicule, enemy modeling or domination incentives crowd it out. The inconsistency matters more than either half alone.

PANGBURN VERDICT
POSITIVE NORM / INCONSISTENT IMPLEMENTATION.

PART VII - SPEECH / CLAIM LEDGER: 45 ITEMS

V1's 15 short quotation items are retained. V2 adds 30 items. To increase resolution without reproducing long third-party transcripts, most new web-derived items are explicitly labeled PARAPHRASE. A paraphrase establishes the analytic proposition being coded; readers should consult the cited source for exact wording and full context.

ID	Time/context	Source	Mode	Speech / claim cue	Analytic use	Code
Q-01	~00:09:31	PBD Ep. 707	QUOTE	How do you know that?	Fallibilism / justification	AW-04
Q-02	~02:14	PBD Ep. 707	QUOTE	I'll eat crow.	Correction priority	AW-04
Q-03	~00:03:36	PBD Ep. 707	QUOTE	I really hate them.	Symmetry / communication risk	AW-04
Q-04	~02:45	JRE #2535	QUOTE	skepticism is great	Fallibilism	AW-05
Q-05	~01:08:54	JRE #2535	QUOTE	Almost anything's possible.	Uncertainty preservation	AW-05
Q-06	~01:08:54	JRE #2535	QUOTE	there's not good evidence to support it	Evidential proportionality	AW-05
Q-07	~01:29:15	JRE #2444	QUOTE	I don't even consider it science.	Psychology / proportionality	AW-06
Q-08	~02:32	JRE #2444	QUOTE	It's my privilege to have fans.	Well-being / responsibility	AW-06
Q-09	2026 interview	TRIGGERnometry	QUOTE	premier bloodsport debater	Public debate identity	AW-07
Q-10	2026 interview	TRIGGERnometry	QUOTE	I've never seen a great reason why women should be able to vote	Suffrage / well-being	AW-07
Q-11	2026 interview	TRIGGERnometry	QUOTE	Almost no women die in childbirth anymore.	Quantitative proportionality	AW-07
Q-12	commercial site	Debate University	QUOTE	Debate is a Weapon.	Communication / incentive	AW-03
Q-13	~14:54	Wilson vs Dillahunty	QUOTE	the funny thing is I already won that topic	Fallibilism / rhetoric	AW-09
Q-14	fundraiser video	Wilson fundraiser	QUOTE	I went ahead and sent the \$300,000	Financial provenance	AW-10
Q-15	fundraiser video	Wilson fundraiser	QUOTE	I have already sent Candace the \$300,000 and fulfilled my end of the contract with her.	Financial provenance	AW-10
Q-16	PBD 707	PBD Ep. 707	PARAPHRASE	Politics is a power-block struggle in which one coalition rules or is ruled.	Power realism	AW-04
Q-17	PBD 707	PBD Ep. 707	PARAPHRASE	Progressive leaders are described as epistemically ungrounded and dangerously irrational.	Outgroup generalization	AW-04
Q-18	PBD 707	PBD Ep. 707	PARAPHRASE	Daniel Haqiqatjou is described as a serious, skilled debater who does his homework.	Adversarial respect	AW-04
Q-19	PBD 707	PBD Ep. 707	PARAPHRASE	High consanguinity is used to explain low national cognitive estimates and downstream social outcomes.	Causal proportionality	AW-04
Q-20	PBD 707	PBD Ep. 707	PARAPHRASE	National IQ differences are extended into claims about military effectiveness.	Ecological inference	AW-04
Q-21	early JRE #2535	JRE #2535	QUOTE	It has to be us and them.	Political symmetry / rhetoric	AW-05
Q-22	early JRE #2535	JRE #2535	PARAPHRASE	Canada or the UK is described as using round voting in which a president/politician can win with a very small share.	Electoral-system fact check	AW-05
Q-23	JRE #2535	JRE #2535	PARAPHRASE	Wilson says he tries to wait before committing to hot takes.	Uncertainty preservation	AW-05
Q-24	JRE #2535	JRE #2535	PARAPHRASE	Wilson warns against becoming attached to the first theory one adopts.	Fallibilism	AW-05
Q-25	JRE #2535	JRE #2535	PARAPHRASE	He argues the Israel-assassination hypothesis is possible but lacks positive evidence.	Evidential proportionality	AW-05
Q-26	JRE #2535	JRE #2535	QUOTE	verbal online war	Communication incentive	AW-05
Q-27	JRE #2535	JRE #2535	PARAPHRASE	Transgender people are generalized as disproportionately associated with recent public shootings.	Group-level evidence	AW-05
Q-28	JRE #2535	JRE #2535	PARAPHRASE	A left/trans radicalization explanation is floated for a shooter without demonstrated positive evidence in the exchange.	Speculation control	AW-05
Q-29	JRE #2444	JRE #2444	QUOTE	voodoo	Psychology rhetoric	AW-06
Q-30	JRE #2444	JRE #2444	PARAPHRASE	Psychologists are characterized as learning manipulation techniques.	Scope / source fidelity	AW-06
Q-31	JRE #2444	JRE #2444	QUOTE	I can't logically show it.	Motive-inference self-limitation	AW-06
Q-32	JRE #2444	JRE #2444	QUOTE	destroy the view completely	Debate ethics	AW-06
Q-33	JRE #2444	JRE #2444	PARAPHRASE	Political understanding should come from the sum of multiple sources, not one channel or show.	Source diversity	AW-06
Q-34	JRE #2444	JRE #2444	PARAPHRASE	A stalemate can be valuable if it leaves people less certain than before.	Uncertainty preservation	AW-06
Q-35	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	QUOTE	race for power	Power realism	AW-07
Q-36	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	QUOTE	may the best ideology win	Political method	AW-07
Q-37	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	Severe starvation in contemporary America is described as essentially conquered.	Food-insecurity calibration	AW-07
Q-38	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	Christian ethics are said to yield the best outcomes and therefore should dominate political law.	Comparative outcome claim	AW-07
Q-39	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	Banning Muslim immigration is floated as a legitimate tool of Christian political dominance.	Well-being / equal status	AW-07
Q-40	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	QUOTE	women have all the privilege	Gender generalization	AW-07
Q-41	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	The college years are characterized as irrationally consuming women's best childbearing years.	Fertility / autonomy	AW-07

ID	Time/context	Source	Mode	Speech / claim cue	Analytic use	Code
Q-42	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	Removing many women from the workforce is predicted to cause wages to rise sharply.	Economic causal claim	AW-07
Q-43	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	A preferred state would ban pornography, ban same-sex marriage, and regulate media/technology for moral ends.	Coercive policy architecture	AW-07
Q-44	Trigger	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	A household/stakeholder franchise is presented as preferable to universal adult suffrage.	Political agency	AW-07
Q-45	Trigger close	TRIGGERnometry	PARAPHRASE	Good-faith conversation is described as an attempt to actually understand the other person's view.	Communication virtue	AW-07

QUOTATION CAUTION

Transcript services can mishear names, punctuation or crosstalk. Exact accusations should default to original audio/video where wording is outcome-determinative. V2 also applies a speaker-attribution firewall: adjacent Rogan speech is not charged to Wilson.

PART VIII - STRONGEST STEELMAN AND STRONGEST CRITIQUE

The strongest Pangburnian steelman for Andrew Wilson

1. He exposes his worldview to extraordinary adversarial contact, including low-status interlocutors and ideological neighbors. This is a real anti-echo-chamber behavior.
2. His claim atomization is unusually strong: definitions become explicit, presuppositions become visible, and hidden asymmetries are harder to protect.
3. He possesses genuine fallibilist tools: real-time revision, "I can't tell," invitations to fact-check, motive self-limitation, and possibility/evidence separation.
4. He sometimes shows adversarial respect across deep worldview difference, including praising a Muslim opponent as skilled and prepared.
5. He explicitly endorses source diversity and warns against overreliance on one media ecosystem.
6. He recognizes audience influence as a responsibility and reports significant direct engagement with followers.
7. His concerns about family, community, purpose and religious social support correspond to real well-being variables, and the literature supports a nontrivial empirical core.
8. His psychology skepticism has a valid methodological core: replication, publication bias, measurement and incentive problems are real even though the field-wide conclusion is too strong.
9. His fertility concern has a valid biological core: age is not neutral for female fecundity, even if the policy inference exceeds the data.
10. He can accept stalemate and the idea that a debate may appropriately reduce confidence rather than produce a theatrical winner.

The strongest Pangburnian critique

1. Technical dominance can create an illusion of global reliability. Winning a premise-level exchange does not validate background empirical generalizations.
2. Charity is not distributed symmetrically. Direct outgroup hatred and us-versus-them political framing increase motivated interpretation risk.
3. Calibration variance can occur within minutes: strong positive-evidence demands for one conspiracy claim coexist with weaker speculation that favors his coalition.
4. Legitimate cores are repeatedly inflated: replication problems -> psychology is not science; low maternal risk -> almost no women; food abundance -> starvation is conquered; fertility decline -> college deferral is insane.
5. Country-level cognitive estimates are treated more precisely and causally than the methodological literature warrants.
6. Broad group claims about transgender shooters, progressives and religious/civilizational outcomes are often stated without explicit denominators or source trails.
7. His coercive political program is empirically thinner than his confidence: Christian legal dominance, franchise restriction, marriage restrictions and content regulation affect non-adherents at large scale.
8. Debate-as-weapon branding raises the reputational cost of concession and can turn error correction into status loss.
9. There is still no systematic public correction ledger that lets a skeptical observer audit post-debate error handling over time.
10. Archive breadth proves exposure, not success at self-revision. A future longitudinal study must distinguish contact with opposition from actual updating.

SYNTHESIS

The steelman and critique remain simultaneously true. V2 does not support "epistemic fraud" and does not support "model truth-seeker." It supports a high-capacity adversarial reasoner whose strongest truth-tracking tools are real and whose most important failures arise when identity, scope and bloodsport incentives weaken universal application of those tools.

PART IX - SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND SCORE STABILITY

Sensitivity choice	Expected effect
If aggressive debate style is treated as mostly entertainment	Communication could plausibly rise into the mid-6s; the epistemic score changes little because factual calibration and source fidelity are separate.
If political autonomy/equal civil status is heavily weighted in Well-Being	Well-Being could fall into the high-4s because franchise and religious-dominance proposals are upstream constraints with large affected populations.
If Wilson's Christian metaphysics is granted true	Internal coherence improves, but empirical claims about elections, maternal mortality, psychology, fertility, shooters and social outcomes still require normal evidence.
If theology is excluded from epistemic scoring	Epistemic score rises slightly because fewer foundational propositions enter the evaluation; V2 rejects that shortcut because public knowledge claims still have methodological posture.
If Tier C archive evidence were removed	Adversarial self-critique confidence would decrease somewhat, but the core score likely remains above 7 because multiple Tier A long-form sources independently show broad engagement.
If all rhetoric were ignored	Virtue score rises modestly, not dramatically; Well-Being and source/proportionality concerns remain.
If only checkable empirical claims were scored	Wilson's technical philosophical skill would be underrepresented; the report deliberately keeps philosophy and empirical practice separate rather than pretending one is the other.

Editorial uncertainty bands

Dimension	Point estimate	Reasonable editorial band
Six-virtue overall	6.2	5.7-6.7
Epistemic-standard overall	6.7	6.1-7.2
Epistemic skill	8.2	7.7-8.7
Epistemic discipline	6.0	5.4-6.6

These are not statistical confidence intervals. They express uncertainty from corpus selection, weighting, transcript imperfection and the difficulty of mapping qualitative behavior to scalar summaries.

PART X - WHAT WOULD RAISE OR LOWER THE SCORES

Evidence that would materially raise Wilson's profile

- * A public correction ledger keyed to original claims, dates, sources and corrected confidence.
- * Prepared post-debate source packets for statistics, history, law and social-science claims.
- * Routine steelman restatement that opponents explicitly accept before attack, applied across political identities.
- * Post-debate publication of the strongest argument against his own position and any confidence update.
- * Documented correction of the Canada/UK electoral-system claim and other concrete factual errors after notification.
- * Source-explicit engagement with women's suffrage outcome literature, same-sex-marriage evidence and food-security measures.
- * Clear differentiation between biological fertility facts and normative conclusions about college/work/family policy.
- * Country-level claims accompanied by data-quality caveats and causal alternatives rather than precise national-IQ shorthand.
- * More separation between entertainment packaging and evidence-audited conclusions.
- * More public evidence of art/aesthetic inspiration outside adversarial political content.

Evidence that would materially lower Wilson's profile

- * Documented refusal to correct a primary-source contradiction after the factual issue is made explicit.
- * Systematic use of stronger burdens for opponents than allies in structurally identical disputes.
- * Repeated presentation of group-level allegations as established facts after base-rate evidence is supplied.
- * Intentional clipping or quotation practices shown to materially alter an opponent's meaning.
- * Authenticated records showing a financial/provenance claim was knowingly false. No such finding is made here.
- * Explicit admission that factual accuracy is subordinate to coalition victory or audience mobilization.
- * Patterned retaliation against good-faith critics for supplying disconfirming primary evidence.
- * More examples where legal/historical descriptions remain unchanged after authoritative correction.

CORRECTION TRIGGER

This profile remains revision-ready. The August 14, 2026 Candace Owens debate had not occurred by the evidence cutoff. A post-debate V3 should specifically code source use, concessions, corrections, interruptions, uncertainty language and whether the strongest predictions in the prior debate forecast were realized.

PART XI - PANGBURN RECOMMENDATIONS FOR WILSON'S OWN METHOD

1. Universalize the evidence ladder

Label claims observed / strongly supported / plausible / possible / speculative. Wilson already does this in strong forensic moments; the gain comes from making it identity-blind.

2. Add a source-lock to prepared empirical claims

For every number, country comparison or historical assertion, publish the exact source and the scope the source actually supports.

3. Separate field criticism from field abolition

Name the subfield, method, sample, instrument or evidence class that is weak rather than turning a replication concern into a total field judgment.

4. Steelman before weaponizing

Require an opponent-approved restatement before the internal critique. This preserves Wilson's atomism while reducing claim mutation.

5. Run a base-rate check before group generalization

Before asserting a group is disproportionately involved in violence or pathology, state numerator, denominator, comparator, dataset coverage and uncertainty.

6. Put political allies on hostile-witness status

Use the same anomaly skepticism and positive-evidence burden on coalition-friendly claims that he applies to Candace Owens-type conspiracy claims.

7. Distinguish biological facts from policy functions

Fertility decline is an empirical input, not a complete welfare function. Add education, income, health, autonomy, partner constraints and distribution before prescribing.

8. Build a correction ritual

A recurring five-minute "what I got wrong" segment would transform correction from status loss into brand-consistent epistemic strength.

9. Define coercion thresholds

For every proposed restriction, state the harm being prevented, evidence strength, affected population, reversibility and less-restrictive alternatives.

10. Audit domination incentives

Keep entertainment, but make a visible distinction between a clip optimized for conquest and a conclusion that has been source-audited.

PART XII - FINAL INTEGRATED VERDICT

At triple resolution, Andrew Wilson looks more like the V1 subject, not less. The enlarged corpus confirms an unusually capable adversarial reasoner with strong atomism, broad challenge exposure, genuine correction signals and moments of excellent uncertainty preservation. These strengths survive deeper sampling.

The same expansion increases confidence in the principal liabilities. Wilson repeatedly moves from a defensible core to a categorical wrapper: a real replication problem becomes field abolition, a low risk becomes near-zero social significance, a fertility gradient becomes a social-policy imperative, a complex political or religious comparison becomes a civilizational superlative, and contested group-level patterns become confident rhetoric without visible denominators.

The strongest new evidence is not any single error. It is the contrast inside JRE #2535: careful positive-evidence discipline on one conspiracy theory followed by weaker speculation in an ideologically congruent direction. That contrast makes a general incapacity explanation less plausible. Wilson knows how to preserve uncertainty. The problem is making that discipline universal.

Well-Being remains the largest ethical tension. Wilson values real human goods - family, order, responsibility, community, purpose, social support and audience obligation. But his preferred political architecture can concentrate coercive power in a Christian moral coalition and reduce political or civil options for outsiders. Pangburn Well-Being requires more than internal coherence or majority confidence; it requires comparative outcome evidence and explicit accounting for autonomy, minority welfare, power abuse, scale and reversibility.

Accordingly, V2 moves the virtue profile only from 6.3 to 6.2 and the epistemic profile from 6.8 to 6.7. Those movements are deliberately small. The important update is higher resolution and higher confidence in the structure: epistemic skill remains much stronger than epistemic discipline under identity pressure.

FINAL PANGBURN VERDICT
Andrew Wilson: SIX VIRTUES 6.2/10 EPISTEMIC STANDARDS 6.7/10 EPISTEMIC SKILL 8.2/10 EPISTEMIC DISCIPLINE 6.0/10. Overall: a strong adversarial reasoner with genuine fallibilist capacity, exceptional atomism and meaningful correction behavior, constrained by bloodsport incentives, motivated asymmetry, source/scope drift and inconsistent evidential proportionality.

PART XIII - ADVERSARIAL SELF-AUDIT

Control	V2 result
Political-bias audit	No penalty for Christianity, conservatism, anti-progressivism, anti-universal-suffrage views or opposition to same-sex marriage as identities. Penalties attach to evidence quality, coercive consequences, symmetry and method.
Mind-reading audit	Wilson is not labeled dishonest or bad faith because he is aggressive. Where he makes motive inferences, the report tests evidentiary support rather than inventing private intent.
Speaker-attribution audit	V2 explicitly removes a tempting JRE #2444 disability/back-pain claim from Wilson because the surrounding transcript assigns the substantive claim to Joe Rogan. This is a positive audit result.
Primary-source audit	Wilson-owned sources establish Wilson's brand, archive and public claims; they do not independently verify self-serving facts.
Quote audit	V1 short quotes retained; V2 additions mostly paraphrased. Transcript proxies are marked and original audio controls if exact wording changes a serious accusation.
Counterevidence audit	Positive evidence is expanded: adversarial respect, source-diversity principle, stalemate tolerance, audience responsibility, fertility core, religion/well-being core, psychology replication problems and consanguinity health/cognition mechanisms.
Denominator audit	No speaker-wide truthfulness percentage is computed. Food security, maternal mortality and shooter claims are specifically checked for denominator compression.
Legal-category audit	Current constitutional doctrine is separated from historical/originalist critique rather than treating a contested legal theory as current law.
Temporal audit	The upcoming Aug. 14 Owens debate is outside the cutoff. Dynamic archive status is time-bounded.
Independence audit	Transcript mirrors and Wilson-controlled repetitions are treated as one evidence lineage unless independent evidence exists.
Scoring audit	V2 score changes are small and bands remain explicit; no pseudo-statistical precision is claimed.
Publication audit	Central thesis survives the expanded pass. The largest remaining limitation is non-random corpus selection and incomplete full-video coding of every archive entry.

PUBLICATION STATUS
PASS WITH EXPLICIT LIMITATIONS. No discovered issue requires reversing the central V1 thesis. V2 materially improves resolution and identifies at least one speaker-attribution non-charge, one clear electoral-system factual error, and multiple additional examples that sharpen rather than destabilize the profile.

SUPPLEMENTAL FORENSIC APPENDICES

Appendix A - Public-behavior evidence ledger: 102 units

This ledger is exactly three times the V1 behavioral resolution. It is not a lifetime denominator. Repeated behavior is split only when the underlying epistemic act is distinct; simple reposts are not counted as new evidence.

ID	Context	Behavior	Pangburn dimension	Direction	Confidence	Source
B-001	PBD Ep. 707	Father's recurring "How do you know that?" method; learned to interrogate source and justification.	Fallibilism / Atomism	Positive	High	AW-04
B-002	PBD Ep. 707	Invites live fact-checking of his memory and says he will eat crow if wrong.	Fallibilism / Correction priority	Positive	High	AW-04
B-003	PBD Ep. 707	Explicitly says he intensely hates progressives while recognizing conflict with his Christian standard.	Communication / Symmetry / Patience	Negative + self-aware	High	AW-04
B-004	PBD Ep. 707	Criticizes anomaly-driven conspiracy reasoning for lack of positive evidence and lack of charity.	Evidential proportionality / Communication	Positive	High	AW-04
B-005	PBD Ep. 707	Argues that context can radically change the meaning of an apparently suspicious message.	Source fidelity / Context preservation	Positive	High	AW-04
B-006	JRE #2535	Rejects clout thresholds and says some best debates involved people with almost no followers.	Communication / Adversarial exposure	Positive	High	AW-05
B-007	JRE #2535	During live police-video review repeatedly preserves uncertainty and revises who he thinks fired.	Uncertainty preservation / Fallibilism	Positive	High	AW-05
B-008	JRE #2535	Separates mere possibility from good evidence in an assassination hypothesis.	Evidential proportionality	Positive	High	AW-05
B-009	JRE #2535	Describes real skepticism as including skepticism toward one's own view.	Fallibilism / Adversarial self-critique	Positive	High	AW-05
B-010	JRE #2535	Describes strengthening Dave Smith's position after a debate rather than only celebrating weakness.	Communication / Helpfulness	Positive	Moderate-High	AW-05
B-011	JRE #2444	Says influence creates responsibility because speech can move followers toward harmful outcomes.	Well-Being / Communication	Positive	High	AW-06
B-012	JRE #2444	Reports spending substantial daily time responding to audience messages.	Well-Being / Social connection	Positive	Moderate	AW-06
B-013	JRE #2444	Categorically dismisses psychology as non-science despite acknowledging scientific methods within it.	Evidential proportionality / Source fidelity	Negative	High	AW-06
B-014	JRE #2444	Frames debate as sometimes requiring brutal destruction of a view to prevent audience movement toward it.	Communication	Mixed	High	AW-06
B-015	TRIGGERnometry	Self-describes as a bloodsport debater.	Communication / Lightheartedness	Context marker	High	AW-07
B-016	TRIGGERnometry	Advocates Christian political dominance because he predicts better ethical outcomes.	Well-Being / Power analysis	Mixed / contested	High	AW-07
B-017	TRIGGERnometry	Would outlaw same-sex marriage while not criminalizing private homosexual conduct.	Well-Being / Autonomy	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-018	TRIGGERnometry	Questions universal suffrage and says he has not seen a great reason for women's voting.	Well-Being / Power / Evidence completeness	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-019	TRIGGERnometry	Minimizes contemporary maternal mortality as nearly absent.	Evidential proportionality	Negative	High	AW-07
B-020	Debate University	Markets debate as weapon, domination and ideological battlefield.	Communication / Incentive structure	Negative pressure	High	AW-03
B-021	Crucible archive	Maintains very high volume of debates across ideological/theological disagreements.	Adversarial self-critique / Communication	Positive	High	AW-01
B-022	Crucible archive	Debates ideological neighbors including Dinesh D'Souza, David Wood, Stew Peters and other right-wing/Christian figures.	Symmetry / Adversarial self-critique	Positive	High	AW-01
B-023	Piers Morgan panel	Calls Cornel West a lunatic amid crosstalk and makes severe causal accusation about rhetoric and Kirk's killing.	Communication / Patience / Causal proportionality	Negative	High	AW-08
B-024	Piers Morgan panel	Taunts West as he leaves; West also interrupts and walks out.	Communication / Patience	Negative, mutually escalatory	High	AW-08
B-025	Wilson vs Dillahunty	Begins by saying he has already won the topic before substantive exchange.	Fallibilism / Communication	Negative posture	High	AW-09
B-026	Wilson vs Dillahunty	Uses internal critique: grants opponent's worldview and tests its consequences.	Atomism / Philosophical method	Positive	High	AW-09
B-027	Wilson vs Dillahunty	Uses derisive labels for gender/sexuality-related opponents and positions.	Communication / Lightheartedness	Negative	High	AW-09
B-028	Fundraising video	Accurately describes most negotiation chronology but omits later Atlas \$200K stage in "eventually \$150K" recap.	Source fidelity / Correction priority	Mixed	High	AW-10
B-029	Fundraising video	Makes unsupported motive/financial-scale claims such as "multitudes of millions" in advocacy appeal.	Evidential proportionality	Negative	High	AW-10
B-030	Fundraiser/payment claim	Publicly says he sent \$300K; independent transactional proof was not publicly located at cutoff.	Provenance / Interested-source control	Unresolved underlying fact	High	AW-10
B-031	JRE/public interviews	Criticizes tribal behavior on the right as well as the left.	Symmetry	Positive	Moderate-High	AW-05/AW-06
B-032	Public interviews	Describes community, kindness, family duty and audience responsibility as serious ethical goods.	Well-Being	Positive	High	AW-04/AW-06
B-033	Public corpus	Displays broad technical and philosophical curiosity across mechanics, firearms, theology, law, politics and evidence.	Inspiration / Curiosity	Positive	High	AW-01/AW-04/AW-05

ID	Context	Behavior	Pangburn dimension	Direction	Confidence	Source
B-034	Public corpus	Provides limited direct evidence of art/aesthetic inspiration relative to political/technical content.	Inspiration / Aesthetic	Unresolved / low evidence	Moderate	Corpus limitation
B-035	PBD Ep. 707	Frames politics as a power-block struggle in which groups either rule or are ruled.	Well-Being / Power realism	Mixed / risk	High	AW-04
B-036	PBD Ep. 707	Uses sweeping derogatory language for progressive political leaders and their epistemic competence.	Communication / Symmetry	Negative	High	AW-04
B-037	PBD Ep. 707	Distinguishes manageable immigration from mass migration rather than rejecting all immigration categorically.	Atomism / Policy nuance	Positive qualification	Moderate-High	AW-04
B-038	PBD Ep. 707	Praises Muslim opponent Daniel Haqiqatjou as serious, skilled and prepared.	Communication / Adversarial respect	Positive	High	AW-04
B-039	PBD Ep. 707	Describes predicting an opponent's argument structure before debate, showing high model-building skill.	Atomism / Epistemic skill	Positive	High	AW-04
B-040	PBD Ep. 707	Uses population consanguinity claims to infer group-level cognitive limitations.	Source fidelity / Causal inference	Mixed / negative pressure	Moderate-High	AW-04/EM P-13/EMP-14
B-041	PBD Ep. 707	States precise national IQ values conversationally without a transparent source/method chain.	Reproducibility / Proportionality	Negative pressure	High	AW-04/EM P-13
B-042	PBD Ep. 707	Extends national-IQ/consanguinity claims into military-capacity explanations.	Causal proportionality	Negative	Moderate-High	AW-04
B-043	PBD Ep. 707	Generalizes that Christian societies produce better outcomes while Muslim societies rely on Christian benevolence.	Correspondence / Comparative evidence	Negative pressure	Moderate-High	AW-04
B-044	PBD Ep. 707	Emphasizes waiting for context before treating suspicious messages as proof.	Context preservation	Positive	High	AW-04
B-045	PBD Ep. 707	Pairs high-confidence cultural claims with explicit readiness to accept correction on concrete case details.	Calibration variance	Mixed diagnostic	High	AW-04
B-046	JRE #2535	Says some political corruption should be expected rather than assuming ideal institutions.	Correspondence / Power realism	Mixed	Moderate	AW-05
B-047	JRE #2535	Uses explicit us-versus-them political framing.	Communication / Symmetry	Negative pressure	High	AW-05
B-048	JRE #2535	Misdescribes Canada/UK national electoral systems as using a round-voting model and a president-type selection.	Source fidelity / Factual accuracy	Negative	High	AW-05/EM P-08/EMP-09
B-049	JRE #2535	Supports strict order against aggression toward service workers while considering institutional tradeoffs.	Well-Being / Order	Mixed-positive	Moderate	AW-05
B-050	JRE #2535	Describes delaying hot takes when evidence is incomplete.	Uncertainty preservation	Positive	High	AW-05
B-051	JRE #2535	Warns against becoming psychologically married to an early interpretation.	Fallibilism	Positive	High	AW-05
B-052	JRE #2535	Repeatedly rewatches ambiguous footage rather than treating first impression as decisive.	Patience / Reproducibility	Positive	High	AW-05
B-053	JRE #2535	Changes which officer he thinks fired when the visual evidence changes.	Correction priority	Positive	High	AW-05
B-054	JRE #2535	Changes normative assessment when new information about a knife is introduced.	Correspondence / Updating	Positive	High	AW-05
B-055	JRE #2535	Explicitly separates logical possibility from positive evidentiary support for an assassination theory.	Evidential proportionality	Positive	High	AW-05
B-056	JRE #2535	Offers alternative explanations that reduce the force of anomaly accumulation.	Adversarial self-critique / Counterevidence	Positive	High	AW-05
B-057	JRE #2535	Describes ongoing online political conflict as a verbal war.	Communication / Incentives	Negative pressure	High	AW-05
B-058	JRE #2535	Makes a broad association between transgender identity and public shootings without a proportionate dataset.	Source fidelity / Group generalization	Negative	High	AW-05/EM P-12
B-059	JRE #2535	Speculates that left-wing or trans-related influence may have radicalized a shooter without established positive evidence in the cited sequence.	Uncertainty / Proportionality	Negative	High	AW-05
B-060	JRE #2535	Engages interlocutors with very small audiences and rejects pure clout-gating.	Communication / Exposure	Positive	High	AW-05
B-061	JRE #2535	States that skepticism should be applied to one's own preferred view.	Fallibilism / Symmetry	Positive	High	AW-05
B-062	JRE #2535	Displays detailed mechanical/firearms knowledge and practical systems reasoning.	Inspiration / Reality-contact	Positive	Moderate-High	AW-05
B-063	JRE #2535	Uses explicit "I can't tell" posture during difficult visual inference.	Uncertainty preservation	Positive	High	AW-05
B-064	JRE #2535	Moves within one conversation from careful assassination-evidence calibration to weaker group-level shooter speculation.	Calibration consistency	Negative diagnostic	High	AW-05
B-065	JRE #2444	Calls psychology "voodoo" and dismisses the field as science.	Source fidelity / Proportionality	Negative	High	AW-06/EM P-04/EMP-05
B-066	JRE #2444	Claims psychologists learn manipulation techniques as a broad field characterization.	Source fidelity / Scope	Negative pressure	Moderate-High	AW-06
B-067	JRE #2444	Suggests friends/family may offer more value than therapy in some cases.	Well-Being / Nuance	Mixed; context-dependent	Moderate	AW-06
B-068	JRE #2444	Uses informal social relief examples to criticize professional mental-health intervention.	Evidence hierarchy	Mixed / weak generalization	Moderate	AW-06
B-069	JRE #2444	Generalizes about conservative men having longer-standing friendships without an explicit source trail.	Reproducibility / Source fidelity	Negative pressure	Moderate	AW-06

ID	Context	Behavior	Pangburn dimension	Direction	Confidence	Source
B-070	JRE #2444	Acknowledges stigma can affect mental-health reporting, preserving at least one alternative explanation.	Uncertainty / Counterevidence	Positive qualification	Moderate-High	AW-06
B-071	JRE #2444	Expresses certainty about some opponents' motives or beliefs.	Mind-reading risk / Correspondence	Negative pressure	High	AW-06
B-072	JRE #2444	Immediately concedes he cannot logically demonstrate the opponent-motive inference.	Fallibilism / Self-limitation	Positive	High	AW-06
B-073	JRE #2444	Says he enjoys genuine, skilled debaters even when they oppose him.	Communication / Adversarial respect	Positive	High	AW-06
B-074	JRE #2444	Treats wanting to win a debate as compatible with genuine debate.	Communication	Mixed / neutral	Moderate	AW-06
B-075	JRE #2444	Says completely destroying a view can be legitimate in debate.	Communication / Status incentives	Negative pressure	High	AW-06
B-076	JRE #2444	Argues political education should come from a sum of sources, not one news/podcast ecosystem.	Source diversity / Self-critique	Positive	High	AW-06
B-077	JRE #2444	Reports roughly two hours of morning audience-message engagement.	Well-Being / Social connection	Positive	Moderate	AW-06
B-078	JRE #2444	Connects influence to moral responsibility for downstream audience behavior.	Well-Being / Communication	Positive	High	AW-06
B-079	JRE #2444	Treats representing a worldview well as a responsibility when large audiences are watching.	Communication / Responsibility	Positive	High	AW-06
B-080	JRE #2444	Says stalemate can be a good debate outcome when neither side has earned certainty.	Uncertainty preservation	Positive	High	AW-06
B-081	JRE #2444	Warns people may be too sure and should avoid premature worldview commitment.	Fallibilism	Positive	High	AW-06
B-082	JRE #2444	Prefaces a sweeping left-wing mental-health generalization with an explicit inability to prove it.	Uncertainty signal + scope risk	Mixed	High	AW-06
B-083	TRIGGERnometry	Closes by emphasizing that good-faith conversation should seek genuine understanding of each other's views.	Communication	Positive	High	AW-07
B-084	TRIGGERnometry	Frames ideological politics as a race for power in which the best ideology should win.	Power realism / Communication	Mixed-risk	High	AW-07
B-085	TRIGGERnometry	Treats severe hunger/starvation in modern America as effectively conquered and challenges hosts to produce a starving person.	Source fidelity / Denominator	Negative	High	AW-07/EM P-10
B-086	TRIGGERnometry	Uses categorical language that Communists kill religious people.	Scope / Historical proportionality	Negative	High	AW-07
B-087	TRIGGERnometry	Claims Christian ethics deliver the best societal outcomes even for secular people.	Comparative causal evidence	Mixed / underdetermined	High	AW-07/EM P-06
B-088	TRIGGERnometry	Says Christian ethics should be politically dominant and laws should be tailored accordingly.	Well-Being / Coercion	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-089	TRIGGERnometry	Floats banning Muslim immigration as a policy consistent with Christian national governance.	Well-Being / Equal status / Power	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-090	TRIGGERnometry	Distinguishes cultural nationalism from an explicitly ethnic-nationalist account.	Atomism / Qualification	Positive qualification	High	AW-07
B-091	TRIGGERnometry	Orders commitments around God, family and nation in a hierarchical moral scheme.	Ethical architecture	Context marker	High	AW-07
B-092	TRIGGERnometry	Would end mass migration under a hypothetical "King Wilson" policy set.	Policy / Well-Being	Contested	High	AW-07
B-093	TRIGGERnometry	Wants policy incentives for earlier marriage/childbearing and against deferring childbearing for college.	Well-Being / Autonomy	Mixed / contested	High	AW-07/EM P-11
B-094	TRIGGERnometry	Predicts reducing women's labor-force participation would greatly raise wages, without a developed causal model in the exchange.	Economic proportionality	Negative pressure	Moderate-High	AW-07
B-095	TRIGGERnometry	Would ban pornography and same-sex marriage under his preferred state framework.	Well-Being / Coercion	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-096	TRIGGERnometry	Would restrict smartphones/airwave content as part of a moral-policy program.	Well-Being / Autonomy / Speech	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-097	TRIGGERnometry	Says his program should work through constitutional/legal mechanisms rather than private vigilantism.	Power / Rule of law	Positive qualification	High	AW-07
B-098	TRIGGERnometry	Notes democracy can democratically alter or reduce democratic arrangements.	Institutional reasoning	Neutral / descriptive	Moderate	AW-07
B-099	TRIGGERnometry	Proposes stakeholder/household franchise alternatives to universal adult suffrage.	Well-Being / Political agency	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-100	TRIGGERnometry	Floats a voting age around 25 under preferred franchise redesign.	Well-Being / Political agency	Contested	High	AW-07
B-101	TRIGGERnometry	Frames women's rights as ultimately dependent on male willingness/force to uphold them.	Normative compression / Power	Negative pressure	High	AW-07
B-102	TRIGGERnometry	Distinguishes cultural exhortation to childbirth from legal compulsion and prefers duty/honor messaging in that specific exchange.	Well-Being / Coercion qualification	Positive qualification	High	AW-07

Appendix B - Expanded Pangburn threat-model audit

Failure mode	Wilson profile	Reason	Direction
Confabulation	No established generalized pattern	Errors/overstatements are documented, but V2 does not establish systematic invention of sources or fabricated records.	Hold
Citation laundering	Moderate vulnerability	Conversational empirical claims often lack visible source-to-proposition entailment.	Negative
Speaker substitution	Researcher risk successfully caught	V2 removes a Rogan claim that could easily have been misassigned to Wilson.	Positive audit control
Claim mutation	Moderate-high vulnerability	Opponent positions and outgroups can be compressed into sharper versions during bloodsport exchanges.	Negative
Premature binary classification	Material vulnerability	Psychology and several group/social claims move categorical before domain complexity is resolved.	Negative
Authority substitution	Mixed	Wilson resists prestige deference; skepticism can itself become indiscriminate.	Mixed
Denominator failure	Material vulnerability	Maternal mortality, food security, shooters and large-money rhetoric show scope compression.	Negative
Ecological inference	Material vulnerability	National/country-level estimates are used to explain individuals, militaries or civilizations.	Negative
Motivated asymmetry	High-confidence vulnerability	Direct outgroup animus plus within-episode calibration differences.	Negative
Context stripping	Mixed	Often strong on context in conspiracy analysis; more variable in group/generalized debate rhetoric.	Mixed
Rhetoric-as-fact	Mixed-high risk	Hyperbole, "war," "destroy," and universal group statements can be heard as empirical propositions.	Negative pressure
Fact-as-opinion escape	Low vulnerability	Wilson generally allows factual premises to be contested rather than retreating entirely to "opinion."	Positive
Base-rate neglect	Material vulnerability in selected claims	Shooter and group-generalization examples lack explicit denominators.	Negative
Legal-category collapse	Moderate risk	Historical constitutional critique can blur into descriptive claims about current law if not separated.	Negative pressure
Correction opacity	Moderate	Real-time correction exists but no systematic public ledger located.	Negative pressure
Clout filtering	Low vulnerability	Wilson explicitly engages low-follower opponents.	Positive
False balance	Low vulnerability	He is not prone to mechanically equalizing unequal evidence; if anything the risk runs toward overconfident discrimination.	Positive / mixed

THREAT-MODEL SYNTHESIS

V2's highest-confidence risks are motivated asymmetry, premature categorical classification, denominator/base-rate compression and ecological inference. The strongest protections remain atomism, adversarial exposure, correction openness and the ability to preserve uncertainty when he chooses to use it.

Appendix C - Ethical worldview architecture, expanded

Theme	Observed architecture	Pangburn tension
Christian foundationalism	Christian ethics are treated as the superior moral foundation for society and politics.	Strength: explicit architecture and duty. Risk: foundational confidence can bypass comparative outcome burdens for non-adherents.
Order and hierarchy	High weight on authority, role structure, family hierarchy and limits on universal political participation.	Strength: recognizes coordination and institutional fragility. Risk: autonomy, minority voice and abuse-of-power costs can be underweighted.
Family and reproduction	Marriage, fertility, sex roles and intergenerational continuity are central welfare variables.	Strength: long-horizon demographic concern. Risk: individual flourishing can be subordinated to role or demographic objectives.
Power realism	Politics is treated as competition for control rather than neutral deliberation.	Strength: avoids institutional naivete. Risk: truth can become instrumental if coalition victory lacks epistemic firewalls.
Moral realism / objective normativity	Opponents are pressed to explain why norms bind rather than express preferences.	Strength: exposes hidden premises. Risk: rival constructivist/anti-realist structures can be caricatured as "no ethics."
Community and duty	Family, followers, community, inherited institutions and audience responsibility carry serious obligations.	Strength: prosocial responsibility. Risk: in-group duty can outrank equal consideration of outsiders.
Cultural conflict	Progressivism is treated as deeply destructive and worthy of aggressive opposition.	Strength: moral seriousness. Risk: enemy modeling, reduced charity and motivated asymmetry.
Epistemic combat	Debate is simultaneously inquiry, persuasion, entertainment and weaponized status competition.	Strength: high challenge exposure and skill. Risk: concession becomes costly when winning is the brand asset.
Biological realism	Sex, fertility, strength and demographic constraints receive significant explanatory weight.	Strength: resists pure social abstraction. Risk: biological inputs can be overextended into fixed normative roles or policy conclusions.
Institutional paternalism	State law may legitimately steer marriage, media, technology, immigration and family behavior toward a preferred moral order.	Strength: accepts that policy always shapes incentives. Risk: scale and coercion make error costs large and asymmetric.

Appendix D - Context-by-context performance matrix

Context	Skill	Discipline	Virtue	Diagnostic note
Prepared formal debate	8.5	6.4	7.1	High atomism, preparation and engagement; dominance incentives remain.
Friendly long-form podcast	7.7	7.1	7.4	More reflective and self-limiting; empirical generalizations still arise.
Hostile live panel	6.0	4.8	5.1	Cross-talk, insult and accusation can overwhelm cooperative dialectic.
Live forensic/video review	7.8	8.1	7.5	Best evidence of uncertainty preservation and real-time revision.
Commercial debate training	7.9	5.0	5.6	Skill foregrounded; domination/weapon framing reduces communication virtue.
Own-side disagreement	7.5	6.8	7.0	Meaningful symmetry and adversarial-exposure evidence.
Fundraising/advocacy under interest	6.6	5.8	5.7	Mostly accurate core narrative with overstatement/provenance limits.
Audience/community interaction	6.5	7.3	6.8	Responsibility and responsiveness raise well-being/communication evidence.
Quantitative social-science claim	7.0	5.2	5.8	Technical reasoning often outruns source transparency and denominator discipline.
Conspiracy claim unfavorable to ally/peer	8.0	7.8	7.2	Often asks for positive evidence and context; strong skepticism visible.
Group claim about ideological outgroup	7.1	5.0	5.2	Confidence, charity and scope often degrade under identity salience.
Policy design / "King Wilson" hypotheticals	7.4	5.3	5.0	Internal coherence often stronger than comparative outcome evidence for affected outsiders.

Appendix E - Rhetorical aggression and personal-attack profile, expanded

Category	Observed pattern	Pangburn interpretation	Confidence
Enemy-class labeling	Progressives/leftists described with sweeping hostile labels.	Reduces charitable interpretation; can prime identity-first reasoning.	High
Competence ridicule	Opponents framed as stupid, insane, irrational or unable to ground obvious premises.	Sometimes tracks real argumentative weakness; often adds status humiliation.	High
Gender/sexuality ridicule	Derisive examples around sexuality, gender identity and "perversion."	High cruelty/outgroup-bonding risk; does not add empirical support.	High
Victory/dominance language	Already won / destroy / dominate / weapon / bloodsport.	Can energize debate but makes concession more reputationally expensive.	High
War framing	Political dispute described as verbal war or race for power.	Raises stakes and can normalize asymmetric charity.	High
Sarcasm/banter	Friendly or self-deprecating humor across long-form appearances.	Can improve accessibility and emotional scale.	Moderate-High
Exit taunts/escalation	Taunting an interlocutor who disengages.	Low helpfulness once dialogue has already failed.	High
Motive attribution	Claims critics or opponents act from envy, insincerity, ideology or monetization.	Requires evidence beyond disagreement; Wilson sometimes self-limits these inferences.	Moderate-High
Group-cognitive degradation	National/cultural intelligence claims used as ridicule.	High risk of ecological inference and dehumanizing status hierarchy.	Moderate-High
Hyperbolic universals	All/none/nearly absent/best outcomes formulations.	Creates fact-checkable scope problems even when a narrower core is defensible.	High
RHETORIC FIREWALL			
Harshness is not automatically misinformation. Rhetoric primarily affects Communication, Patience and Lightheartedness. It affects epistemic scoring only when the rhetoric contains or implies a factual proposition, motive attribution, causal claim or group generalization that exceeds evidence.			

Appendix F - Empirical stress-test ledger: 27 claims

V2 triples the V1 empirical stress-test resolution. Verdicts distinguish literal falsity, unsupported scope, normative disagreement, philosophical compression and genuinely supported cores.

ID	Claim / proposition	Pangburn status	Why	Sources
S-01	Psychology is not science.	FALSE / CATEGORICALLY OVERSTATED	Psychology contains experimental, observational, psychometric, longitudinal, clinical and meta-analytic programs plus preregistration/registered-report/open-science infrastructure. Replication problems justify discriminating skepticism, not field abolition.	AW-06; EMP-04; EMP-05
S-02	Almost no women die in childbirth anymore.	QUALIFIED / MINIMIZING	Risk per birth is low, but CDC recorded 649 U.S. maternal deaths in 2024, rate 17.9/100,000, with major disparities.	AW-07; EMP-01
S-03	No great reason for women to vote.	NORMATIVE + EMPIRICALLY INCOMPLETE	Wilson's broader anti-universal-suffrage view is not reducible to a women-only ban, but enfranchisement has documented education and public-investment benefits that count as serious reasons.	AW-07; EMP-03
S-04	Same-sex marriage should be outlawed because Christian marriage is normatively superior.	NORMATIVE; EMPIRICAL HARM CASE WEAK	Theological premises are outside empirical adjudication. RAND's 20-year review found no reliable broad family-formation harm and documented benefits for same-sex couples and children.	AW-07; EMP-02
S-05	Secular/anti-realist ethics cannot contain genuine normative structure.	QUALIFIED / PHILOSOPHICALLY OVERCOMPRESSED	Major noncognitivist and constructivist traditions retain norms, reasons and consistency constraints while rejecting Wilson's preferred foundation.	AW-09; PHIL-01; PHIL-02
S-06	Religious/Christian community can improve social and psychological well-being.	SUPPORTED CORE / CAUSAL LIMITS	A large review literature frequently finds positive or mixed religion/spirituality-health associations; heterogeneity, selection and negative religious coping prevent a universal causal inference.	AW-06; EMP-06; EMP-07
S-07	A \$300K transfer to Owens is independently established.	UNRESOLVED	Wilson says it was sent; independent authenticated transactional proof was not publicly located at the V2.1 cutoff.	AW-10
S-08	Atlas eventually raised the offer only to \$150K.	MATERIALLY INCOMPLETE	A later \$200K offer stage appears before Wilson's fundraiser video; exact payer structure remained held.	AW-10
S-09	Multitudes of millions were at stake for unnamed creators in the Candace/TPUSA dispute.	UNSUPPORTED	No denominator, named creators or financial records were supplied in the audited fundraiser video.	AW-10
S-10	Canada/UK use a round-voting national system in which a president-type leader can be elected on roughly 10-15%.	FALSE / CATEGORY ERROR	Canada elects local MPs by first-past-the-post and the party leader able to command House confidence becomes prime minister; the UK House of Commons also uses FPTP. Neither country elects a national president by the described method.	AW-05; EMP-08; EMP-09
S-11	Severe starvation in contemporary America has essentially been conquered.	CATEGORICALLY OVERSTATED	Lethal famine is not a normal U.S. condition, but USDA found 13.7% of households food insecure in 2024 and 5.4% with very low food security involving reduced intake/disrupted eating.	AW-07; EMP-10
S-12	The 20s overlap the strongest female fertility years; delaying childbearing can reduce fertility.	SUPPORTED BIOLOGICAL CORE	ASRM states fecundity declines with age and more sharply after 35. This supports age-sensitive fertility counseling, not Wilson's broader educational or labor-policy prescriptions.	AW-07; EMP-11
S-13	Taking many women out of the labor force would necessarily make wages skyrocket.	UNSUPPORTED / ECONOMICALLY UNDERDEVELOPED	The exchange provides no macroeconomic model accounting for labor demand, sector effects, household income, productivity, substitution, prices, taxes or long-run equilibrium. Direction cannot be treated as automatic from labor-supply intuition alone.	AW-07
S-14	Consanguinity can increase recessive-disease risk and autozygosity can correlate with cognitive outcomes.	SUPPORTED NARROW CORE	Genetic literature supports real inbreeding-related health risks and some associations between autozygosity and cognition. Effect size and population context matter.	AW-04; EMP-14
S-15	Precise national IQ values are stable facts suitable for casual country ranking.	DATASET-SENSITIVE / SOURCE-FIDELITY RISK	National-mean IQ estimates depend heavily on sample quality, representativeness, test comparability, secular changes and modeling. Methodological literature explicitly treats data quality as a central limitation.	AW-04; EMP-13
S-16	National IQ/consanguinity substantially explains Arab-state military weakness.	CAUSAL / ECOLOGICAL OVERREACH	A country-level cognitive estimate does not isolate military doctrine, state capacity, logistics, technology, training, coalition structure, corruption, geography or command quality. No causal design was supplied.	AW-04; EMP-13
S-17	Transgender people are broadly "shooting everything up" or constitute a major mass-shooter pattern.	GROSS OVERGENERALIZATION / UNSUPPORTED	The Violence Prevention Project's 202-case database reports 98% of mass shooters are male and emphasizes heterogeneous motives; the available research does not support treating transgender identity as a dominant mass-shooter category. Gender-identity surveillance is imperfect, so exact subgroup percentages should be stated cautiously.	AW-05; EMP-12
S-18	A left/trans conspiracy or ideological network probably radicalized a specific shooter.	SPECULATIVE / UNRESOLVED	Possibility is not positive evidence. In the cited exchange, the inference is not supported by a demonstrated evidentiary chain sufficient to move from possible influence to probable organized causation.	AW-05
S-19	Progressives generally lack ethical or epistemic grounding.	PHILOSOPHICALLY OVERCOMPRESSED	Many progressive arguments may be weak, but entire political populations contain moral realists, constructivists, consequentialists, deontologists, religious believers and empiricists. Group label does not establish grounding failure.	AW-04; PHIL-01; PHIL-02
S-20	Christian ethics produce the best societal outcomes compared with alternatives.	PARTLY PLAUSIBLE GOODS / COMPARATIVE SUPERLATIVE UNESTABLISHED	Religious participation can correlate with social support, purpose and health. That evidence does not identify Christian political supremacy as the best governance arrangement across plural populations.	AW-07; EMP-06
S-21	Women's rights ultimately exist because men benevolently permit/enforce them.	POWER OBSERVATION + NORMATIVE COMPRESSION	Physical enforcement capacity is relevant to institutions, but juridical legitimacy, coalition formation, law, norms and women's own political/economic agency cannot be reduced to male benevolence.	AW-07

ID	Claim / proposition	Pangburn status	Why	Sources
S-22	Women have all the privilege.	NON-ATOMIZED / UNFALSIFIABLE-STYLE GENERALIZATION	The statement lacks domain, denominator, comparator, time period and outcome measure. Different legal/social burdens point in different directions; a universal privilege verdict cannot be tested as stated.	AW-07
S-23	Almost every U.S. state had a state religion at the founding.	PARTLY GROUNDED / HISTORICALLY OVERSTATED	Several colonies/states maintained establishments or religious tests, while constitutional arrangements varied. "Almost every" requires state-by-state definition and is too sweeping without a specified period and criterion.	AW-07; LAW-01
S-24	The First Amendment religion clauses do not apply to states today.	FALSE AS CURRENT LAW	Current constitutional doctrine applies both Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses to states through Fourteenth Amendment incorporation. Historical-original-scope arguments are distinct from what current law is.	AW-07; LAW-01
S-25	Muslim immigration has not been a strength for Western societies.	UNDERSPECIFIED COMPARATIVE OUTCOME CLAIM	No metric, country set, time horizon or counterfactual is specified. Security, fiscal, demographic, labor, integration and civil-liberty outcomes can move differently.	AW-07/A W-11
S-26	Communists kill anybody who is religious.	FALSE AS UNIVERSAL / HISTORICAL CORE EXISTS	Multiple communist regimes severely persecuted religious institutions and believers, but a universal subject-predicate claim over all communists, places and times is false and obscures variation.	AW-07
S-27	Psychologists generally learn manipulation techniques and close friends are generally more valuable than therapy.	FIELD-WIDE GENERALIZATION / CONTEXT DEPENDENT	Some therapeutic skills involve influence and rapport; social support is valuable. Neither entails a general characterization of psychologists as manipulators or a universal superiority of informal support over evidence-based treatment.	AW-06; EMP-04

Appendix G - Research gaps and unresolved questions

- * A preregistered random sample of at least 50 complete Wilson debates has still not been coded. Current selection is purposive/high-information.
- * Not every Tier C archive entry was watched in full. Tier C is exposure/context evidence only.
- * No complete Wilson-maintained correction archive was located. Absence of discovery is not proof one does not exist.
- * Debate University copy and Crucible titles may be team-produced. They are brand/environment evidence unless personal authorship is independently shown.
- * Third-party transcript proxies can contain ASR errors; outcome-determinative quotes should be checked against original audio/video.
- * Wilson's private charity, family conduct, community work and artistic interests remain outside observable scope.
- * Causal effects of Christian governance or household franchise systems cannot be read directly from current U.S. observational data.
- * National-IQ and consanguinity discussions require country-specific source reconstruction before any precise country number is treated as established.
- * Gender-identity coding in national violence datasets is incomplete; V2 rejects sweeping trans-shooter claims but avoids false precision about exact subgroup rates.
- * The Aug. 14, 2026 Wilson-Owens debate is future to this report and should be a major V3 update trigger.
- * A high-value future study should code every concession, correction, explicit uncertainty marker, source citation, insult and interruption across a random sample and compare friendly versus hostile contexts.
- * Where a claim is normative, future audits should separately code internal coherence, empirical premises and well-being consequences rather than forcing a single "true/false" label.

BEST NEXT RESEARCH UPGRADE

Preregister a coding rubric; randomly sample 50-75 full debates across years/topics; blind coders to the score hypothesis; code all empirical claims, source citations, corrections, concessions, uncertainty markers, insults and opponent steelmans; then compare friendly, hostile, own-side and outgroup contexts. That would convert this high-resolution forensic profile into a defensible longitudinal behavioral study.

SOURCE REGISTER - V2

Source IDs below are used for proposition-level provenance. Open-source URLs are provided where available. A URL establishes where the source can be inspected, not that the source is neutral. Participant-controlled sources remain interested evidence for what Wilson/brand says.

ID	Source	Role	Location / note
PANG-01	Pangburn Analysis - Forensic Fact-Checking Replication Standard v1.0	Methodological constitution	User framework / carried forward from V1
PANG-02	Pangburn Philosophy - Six Virtues & Maxims	Ethical constitution	User framework / carried forward from V1
AW-01	The Crucible - Debates archive	Tier C: exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-02	The Crucible - All Videos archive	Tier C: media incentives/context	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-03	Debate University - home / Verbal Combat	Tier B: participant-controlled brand evidence	https://debateuniversity.com/
AW-04	PBD Podcast Ep. 707 - Who Is Andrew Wilson?	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://podscripts.co/podcasts/pbd-podcast/who-is-andrew-wilson-pbd-podcast-ep-707
AW-05	Joe Rogan Experience #2535 - Andrew Wilson	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://listen.ai/channels/joe-rogan/joe-rogan-experience-2535-andrew-wilson_6SxEj1cujQE/transcript
AW-06	Joe Rogan Experience #2444 - Andrew Wilson	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://podcasts.happyscribe.com/the-joe-rogan-experience/2444-andrew-wilson
AW-07	TRIGGERnometry - An Honest Conversation with a Christian Nationalist	Tier A: long-form participant interview transcript	https://www.cockatoo.com/content/an-honest-conversation-with-a-christian-nationalist-andrew-wilson
AW-08	Piers Morgan Uncensored - Andrew Wilson / Cornel West panel	Tier A/B: primary media exchange	Carried forward from V1
AW-09	Andrew Wilson vs Matt Dillahunty - Christianity vs Secular Humanism	Tier A: user-supplied debate transcript	Carried forward from V1
AW-10	Pangburn Analysis - Wilson/Owens Final Audited V2.1	Prior forensic audit	Carried forward from V1
AW-11	Peter McCormack Show - The System Is Designed So You Lose	Tier A/B: participant interview transcript	https://singjupost.com/transcript-the-system-is-designed-so-you-lose-w-andrew-wilson/
AW-12	The Crucible - Stew Peters vs Andrew Wilson: Charlie Kirk	Tier C unless underlying video segment is cited	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-13	The Crucible - Andrew vs Dinesh D'Souza: Israel	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-14	The Crucible - David Wood vs Andrew Wilson	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-15	The Crucible - Matthew J Distefano: LGBTQ+ in the Church	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-16	The Crucible - Austin Offscript: Reparations/Systemic Racism	Tier C exposure/context	https://thecrucible.video/category/debates/
AW-17	The Crucible - Kelly Powers: Reformation debates	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-18	The Crucible - JP Uncut worldview debate	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-19	The Crucible - Leslie V / toxic masculinity	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-20	The Crucible - anti-natalism debate	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-21	The Crucible - Phil Zuckerman / secular humanism	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-22	The Crucible - Karmelo Anthony panel / case coverage	Tier C context; claims require segment review	The Crucible archive, 2026
AW-23	The Crucible - Tyler Robinson preliminary-hearing live coverage	Tier C context; shows forensic format	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-24	The Crucible - Invasion X / TikTok Invasion formats	Tier C incentive/context evidence	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-25	The Crucible - ChillStream / watch-party formats	Tier C incentive/context evidence	https://thecrucible.video/all-videos/
AW-26	Debate University - Verbal Combat 1	Tier B: commercial self-description	https://debateuniversity.com/verbal-combat-1/
AW-27	Debate University - Verbal Combat 2	Tier B: commercial self-description	https://debateuniversity.com/verbal-combat-2/
AW-28	The Crucible - guest appearance archive	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive
AW-29	The Crucible - panels/team-debate archive	Tier C exposure/context	The Crucible archive
AW-30	JRE #2535 second transcript proxy / speaker-attributed cross-check	Transcript corroboration only	https://podcasts.happyscribe.com/the-joe-rogan-experience/2535-andrew-wilson
EMP-01	CDC/NCHS - Maternal Mortality Rates in the United States, 2024	Primary federal statistics	https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hestat/hestat113.htm
EMP-02	RAND - Twenty Years of Evidence on Same-Sex Marriage	Research synthesis / new analyses	https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA2912-1.html
EMP-03	Kose, Kuka & Shenhav - Women's Suffrage and Children's Education	NBER working paper + published AEJ version	https://www.nber.org/papers/w24933
EMP-04	APA - Open science at APA	Professional scientific standards	https://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/resources/open-science
EMP-05	Open Science Collaboration / psychology replication literature	Primary scientific / methodological debate	Carried forward from V1
EMP-06	Davis et al. 2025 - overview of 432 R/S-health reviews/meta-analyses	Scholarly synthesis	https://scholars.duke.edu/publication/1701569
EMP-07	Boylan et al. / MIDUS religion-spirituality and mortality	Peer-reviewed cohort analysis	Carried forward from V1
EMP-08	Elections Canada - Overview of Canadian electoral system	Primary election authority	https://www.elections.ca/visit/over_e.html
EMP-09	UK House of Commons Library - Voting systems in the UK (23 Jun 2026)	Official parliamentary research briefing	https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/sn04458/

ID	Source	Role	Location / note
EMP-10	USDA ERS - Household Food Security in the United States in 2024	Primary federal statistical report	https://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/113622
EMP-11	ASRM - Optimizing natural fertility committee opinion	Professional medical guidance	https://www.asrm.org/practice-guidance/practice-committee-documents/optimizing-natural-fertility-a-committee-opinion-2021/
EMP-12	The Violence Prevention Project - Mass Shooter Database / Key Findings	NIJ-supported research database	https://www.theviolenceproject.org/databases/key-findings
EMP-13	Warne 2023 - National Mean IQ Estimates: Validity, Data Quality, Recommendations	Peer-reviewed methodological analysis	https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40806-022-00351-y
EMP-14	Genome-wide autozygosity / cognitive ability literature	Peer-reviewed genetic evidence	Used as narrow mechanistic steelman; no national-causal shortcut
LAW-01	Constitution Annotated - Religion Clauses overview	Official congressional constitutional reference	https://constitution.congress.gov/browse/essay/amdt1-2-1/ALDE_00013267/
PHIL-01	Stanford Encyclopedia - Moral Cognitivism vs Non-Cognitivism	Scholarly philosophy reference	Carried forward from V1
PHIL-02	Stanford Encyclopedia - Constructivism in Metaethics	Scholarly philosophy reference	Carried forward from V1

Source-quality notes

- * Transcript proxies are strongest for short coherent passages and weaker for disputed proper names, crosstalk and punctuation.
- * The Crucible and Debate University are interested sources. They establish public format, breadth, titles and self-description, not neutral evaluations of performance.
- * CDC, USDA, Elections Canada and Constitution Annotated are primary/official sources for the specific descriptive propositions used here.
- * RAND, NBER/AEJ, Duke, ASRM and psychology methodological literature are used as proposition-specific research syntheses, not authorities that settle every normative question.
- * National-IQ estimates are methodologically contested enough that precise numbers require country-level source reconstruction; V2 therefore avoids endorsing any specific country IQ value.
- * The Violence Prevention Project uses a particular public-mass-shooting definition. Its dataset is highly relevant to Wilson's broad shooter generalization but does not represent every firearm incident or solve gender-identity surveillance gaps.
- * V1 remains the baseline document from which carried-forward findings, quotes and prior audits were inherited. V2 adds rather than silently overwrites those provenance distinctions.

CLOSING STANDARD
"The objective is not to make an LLM sound certain. The objective is to make unjustified certainty difficult." Applied at triple resolution, the correct Andrew Wilson profile remains deliberately non-binary: unusually rigorous adversarial tools, unusually variable universalization of those tools, and a standing obligation to update when the record changes.