

PANGBURN ANALYSIS

PLANET PETERSON

POST-DEBATE RESPONSE AUDIT

ERRORS-ONLY EDITION

Only adverse transcript-fidelity, factual, evidentiary, and legal findings

Transcript mismatches | Misleading / overstated claims | False claims | Not-established factual assertions

Prepared for public release
5 October 2026

1. Errors-Only Reading Rule

Purpose. This edition removes every SUPPORTED, TRANSCRIPT-FAITHFUL, QUALIFIED / ATTRIBUTED, ATTRIBUTED FINDING, and SELF-CORRECTED card from V2. It retains only propositions where the response materially misdescribes the debate, overstates the evidence, states a false proposition, or presents an unresolved claim too firmly.

Important verdict rule. NOT ESTABLISHED does not mean the opposite is proven. It means the response states a factual proposition more strongly than the available public record supports. Likewise, MISLEADING / OVERSTATED preserves any supported factual core while correcting the excess.

Source control. Every card below is copied from the V2 Pangburn-standard audit and preserves its Evidence / Logic, Clear Correction, and Source Basis. No supported card is included merely to provide balance; this is intentionally an errors-only publication extract.

2. Error Summary

TRANSCRIPT MISMATCH	4
MISLEADING / OVERSTATED	12
FALSE	6
NOT ESTABLISHED	4

TOTAL ADVERSE FINDINGS: 26

3. Transcript-Fidelity Errors

T-02 Destiny had "virtually zero counterfactuals"	TRANSCRIPT MISMATCH
---	---------------------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Destiny offered almost no counterfactuals and that he himself would have liked to use more.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Destiny uses multiple developed hypotheticals/counterfactuals: a U.S.-Venezuela neighborhood-bombing scenario; China invading Miami and soldiers in an apartment complex; a dictator-of-Israel maximal-killing scenario; a hypothetical 400,000-militant denominator; a mutually agreed safe-shelter hypothetical; and a two-million-person "video game" maximal-killing scenario. There are also shorter hypothetical probes involving a 17-year-old fighter and random building/tunnel prevalence.

CLEAR CORRECTION: The response should not say Destiny had "virtually zero counterfactuals." A transcript-faithful description is that Destiny used several counterfactual and hypothetical tests, though one can separately criticize whether their premises or comparisons were sound.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 4, 10, 14-16, 20-21, 36; prior Pangburn logic audit. Response transcript p. 4 | Original debate transcript p. 4, 10, 14-16, 20-21, 36

T-03 2,000-pound bomb: "No, that is the only way"**MISLEADING / OVERSTATED**

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson retrospectively characterizes Destiny's response to the 2,000-pound-bomb question as essentially "No, that is the only way."

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The transcript instead has Destiny saying, "I don't know of any. Can you tell me one?" Peterson proposes troops on the ground and the speakers then debate practicality. An epistemic admission ("I don't know another way") is not the same proposition as a categorical impossibility claim ("there is no other way").

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct the response to: Destiny said he did not know another feasible way for the particular tunnel scenario and challenged Peterson to supply one; he did not establish or expressly state that no alternative could exist.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 10-11; speaker-routing audit F16/F-051/F-053.
Response transcript p. 5 | Original debate transcript p. 10-11

T-04 "They didn't kill enough people for genocide"**MISLEADING / OVERSTATED**

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Destiny's argument was, in effect, that too few people had been killed for genocide.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Destiny repeatedly compares the reported toll with what he says he would expect under an extreme maximal/indiscriminate-killing scenario. But in the key exchange he explicitly says he is "not even talking about the genocide" and denies the proposed 80,000-deaths-as-legal-threshold formulation.

CLEAR CORRECTION: A fair reconstruction is: Destiny used the death toll as evidence against an extreme hypothesis of maximal or indiscriminate civilian killing. The logic of that counterfactual can be challenged, but it should not be converted into a categorical legal minimum-deaths rule for genocide.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 12, 14, 20-21; prior F-056/F-087 review.
Response transcript p. 5 | Original debate transcript p. 12, 14, 20-21

T-05 Qatar story: Destiny gave a "full-fledged nuh-uh, that didn't happen"**MISLEADING / OVERSTATED**

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Destiny's answer to the early Qatar hostage story was a categorical denial that it happened.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Destiny is strongly skeptical, questions why Hamas would not simply return the hostages, challenges the logistics, and says the story "sounds ridiculous." But the transcript does not safely establish Destiny himself stating that the Qatari demand did not occur. The line "you're just saying it didn't happen" appears to be Peterson's characterization of Destiny's skepticism.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct the response to: Destiny expressed strong skepticism about the reported early hostage-release story and challenged its plausibility/logistics; the supplied transcript does not establish a categorical factual denial of the Qatari demand itself.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 24-26; routing audit F28/F-102-F-106.
Response transcript p. 6 | Original debate transcript p. 24-26

T-06 Child casualties: Destiny said, in effect, "what if all the teenagers are Hamas?"

TRANSCRIPT MISMATCH

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson paraphrases Destiny as asking whether all 14-, 15-, 16-, 17- and 18-year-olds counted as children were Hamas.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Destiny explicitly says he is not claiming all children/teenagers are fighters. He argues that the under-18 category contains different ages and that age alone does not establish military status; he also uses a U.S. firearm statistic to make a rhetorical point about older adolescents.

CLEAR CORRECTION: The response should distinguish Destiny's actual category argument from the stronger invented proposition that all or most Palestinian adolescents were Hamas combatants.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 6-8, 19-20; prior F-081-F-084 review.
Response transcript p. 7 | Original debate transcript p. 6-8, 19-20

T-07 Decision-makers' statements: Destiny "just said no"

TRANSCRIPT MISMATCH

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says he asked whether quotations from people who make decisions in Israel matter and Destiny simply answered no.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The transcript records Destiny drawing a distinction between random settlers/minor officials and decision-makers, then saying that what the few people responsible for making decisions think and say "really matters," specifically mentioning Netanyahu.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct the response to: Destiny disputed the weight Peterson gave to some quotations, but he expressly acknowledged that statements by actual decision-makers matter to an intent analysis.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 28-29.
Response transcript p. 7 | Original debate transcript p. 28-29

T-08 Southern Gaza: Destiny said there was probably a tunnel under basically every building

TRANSCRIPT MISMATCH

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Destiny ultimately answered the destruction evidence by suggesting there was probably a tunnel under basically every building.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Near the end, Destiny asks whether a randomly selected southern-Gaza building would have more than a 50% chance of having a tunnel underneath it. Peterson answers that he thinks the rate is lower. The earlier Pangburn routing audit specifically withdrew the reconstruction that either speaker asserted tunnels beneath more than half, let alone almost all, buildings.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Do not attribute an "every building had a tunnel" claim to Destiny. The actual exchange is a probabilistic question followed by Peterson's denial that prevalence exceeded 50%.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript p. 36; routing audit F34/F-136-F-139.
Response transcript p. 8 | Original debate transcript p. 36

T-09 War and genocide cannot coexist

MISLEADING / OVERSTATED

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson recalls Destiny repeatedly arguing that genocide cannot occur where the two sides are at war.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Destiny does at points press the wartime/civil-war distinction and struggles to name examples. But later he explicitly states that war and genocide can coexist and cites World War II as an example.

CLEAR CORRECTION: A fair summary must include both parts: Destiny earlier used active warfare as part of his skepticism, but he later explicitly conceded that war and genocide can coexist.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 22-23, 35.
Response transcript p. 8 | Original debate transcript p. 22-23, 35

T-10 Destiny acknowledged "huge numbers" of Israeli war crimes

MISLEADING / OVERSTATED

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Destiny fully acknowledged huge numbers of terrible war crimes.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Destiny does make a material concession: he says there are probably IDF strikes that independent review would find to be war crimes and says he is sure such things have happened. The transcript does not quantify this as "huge numbers."

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct the response to: Destiny acknowledged that some Israeli strikes were likely war crimes; the debate transcript does not establish that he conceded a specific large quantity.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript p. 21; prior F-088 review.
Response transcript p. 9 | Original debate transcript p. 21

T-11 Starvation: Destiny's argument was "only about 400 died, therefore starvation did not happen"

MISLEADING / OVERSTATED

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson summarizes Destiny's starvation position as a numerical syllogism: only about 400 deaths, therefore starvation did not happen.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The debate contains disputes about starvation, famine indicators, aid flows and a roughly 450-death figure. But the transcript does not safely support reducing Destiny's argument to the categorical proposition that starvation did not occur merely because the death count was around 400.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Describe Destiny as challenging the scale/interpretation of the starvation narrative and comparative figures, not as asserting a simple legal or definitional rule that a low direct-death count means no starvation existed.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate transcript pp. 23-24; prior F-100 review.
Response transcript p. 9 | Original debate transcript p. 23-24

4. Factual, Evidentiary, and Legal Errors

E-01 Israel is paying AI companies to make AI more pro-Israel	MISLEADING / OVERSTATED
PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Israel is paying AI companies to train them to give more pro-Israel answers. EVIDENCE / LOGIC: FARA-linked reporting shows the Israeli government contracted Clock Tower X for a digital influence campaign designed to "deliver GPT framing results on GPT conversations." WIRED found campaign-operated sites surfacing in answers from some chatbots. That is evidence of an effort to shape the online information environment used by AI systems; it is not evidence that OpenAI, Anthropic, Google, Microsoft or other model companies were directly paid by Israel to retrain or alter their models. CLEAR CORRECTION: Say: Israel paid contractors to create and distribute pro-Israel web content explicitly intended to influence how AI/chatbot conversations are framed. Do not say Israel paid the AI companies themselves to retrain their models unless direct evidence of such payments emerges. SOURCE BASIS: WIRED Middle East, 24 Sep 2026; U.S. FARA disclosures concerning Clock Tower X. Response transcript p. 4	
E-02 "Civilians never lose protected status"	FALSE
PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says civilians never lose their protected status despite Hamas's conduct. EVIDENCE / LOGIC: IHL protects civilians who remain in a besieged area, including those who stay voluntarily or are used as human shields. However, civilians lose protection against direct attack for such time as they directly participate in hostilities; members of organized armed groups with a continuous combat function are treated differently. CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct formulation: Hamas misconduct or proximity to fighters does not collectively strip civilians of protection, but a civilian can temporarily lose protection against direct attack while directly participating in hostilities. SOURCE BASIS: ICRC, Direct Participation in Hostilities Q&A; ICRC siege guidance. Response transcript p. 5	

E-04B Peterson says U.S. intelligence / the CIA corroborated the Qatari-hostage story	NOT ESTABLISHED
---	-----------------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says the Qatar/hostage account had been corroborated by U.S. intelligence / the CIA.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The audit found public reporting about Qatari mediation and a later Haaretz investigation, but no publicly released CIA assessment, declassified intelligence product, named U.S. intelligence official, or other reproducible primary record corroborating the specific claim that Qatar threatened Hamas with expulsion and that an immediately executable civilian-hostage release was available. Blinken publicly disputed a related part of the Haaretz account.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Do not state that the CIA or U.S. intelligence corroborated the story as a fact on the present record. The defensible wording is that Peterson asserted U.S.-intelligence corroboration, but the public evidence identified here does not independently establish it.

SOURCE BASIS: Public reporting reviewed through 5 Oct 2026; no public CIA corroboration identified; Times of Israel, 9 Sep 2026, for Blinken denial of a related reported conversation.
Response transcript p. 6

E-05 Netanyahu refused an unconditional civilian-hostage release	NOT ESTABLISHED
--	-----------------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says there was an order to release civilian hostages and Netanyahu refused it.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The September 2026 reporting materially strengthens the proposition that very early civilian-hostage release channels were communicated to Israeli officials. It does not establish, from a publicly available primary agreement, that every relevant custodian had accepted a complete, unconditional and immediately executable release, or that Netanyahu personally rejected that exact package. Barnea associates and Qatar offered conflicting descriptions of conditions.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Say: serious later reporting alleges that early civilian-hostage release proposals reached Israeli decision-makers and were not pursued at that stage. Do not convert that into the stronger fact that Netanyahu personally rejected a fully unconditional, deliverable release of all civilian hostages unless the exact proposal and response are produced.

SOURCE BASIS: September 2026 Haaretz reporting as reproduced by multiple outlets; Times of Israel reporting on disputed elements.
Response transcript p. 6

E-08 You cannot prosecute genocide without public incitement	FALSE
--	-------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says public incitement is required in order to prosecute genocide.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Direct and public incitement to genocide is separately punishable under Article III(c). It is not an element that must be proved in every prosecution for the completed Article II crime.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct formulation: public incitement can itself be prosecuted, but a completed-genocide case does not require proof of a separate public-incitement offense.

SOURCE BASIS: 1948 Genocide Convention, Articles II-III.
Response transcript p. 7

E-10 Essentially all of southern Gaza was systematically demolished	MISLEADING / OVERSTATED
---	-------------------------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson describes the south as having been systematically destroyed to an effectively total degree.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: UNOSAT documents extraordinary destruction, but the literal/near-total formulation needs a defined geography and denominator. As of 11 October 2025, UNOSAT assessed about 81% of all structures Gaza-wide as damaged, including 51,885 affected structures in Khan Younis Governorate and 35,307 in Rafah Governorate. Those data establish massive southern damage; they do not, without a polygon-specific denominator, establish that essentially every structure in the particular southern area Peterson displayed was destroyed.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Use the measured UNOSAT figures and the exact geography. "Massive or widespread destruction in Khan Younis and Rafah" is well supported; "essentially all of southern Gaza" is too categorical unless tied to a defined mapped area showing that result.

SOURCE BASIS: UNOSAT Gaza Strip Comprehensive Damage Assessment, imagery 11 Oct 2025, published 31 Oct 2025.
Response transcript pp. 8-9

E-11 About 600,000 people lived in the exact southern area shown	NOT ESTABLISHED
--	-----------------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson uses an approximately 600,000-person figure for the particular southern area/visual being discussed.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The exact claim requires a defined geographic polygon, date, and population dataset. The response does not supply those parameters, and broad regional population figures cannot be safely mapped onto an unspecified image footprint.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Keep this unresolved unless the exact map/area and contemporaneous population estimate are produced.

SOURCE BASIS: Original debate visual context; no matched population source supplied in the response.
Response transcript p. 8

E-13 Only two people have been prosecuted for Gaza war crimes	NOT ESTABLISHED
---	-----------------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says only two people have been prosecuted over almost three years, with very short sentences.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: A complete all-offense prosecution count is not established by the public record identified here, so the exact global number "two" should not be published as settled. OHCHR reported that during its 1 Nov 2024-31 Oct 2025 reporting period it was not aware of steps through the Israeli justice system ensuring accountability for IHL violations, including alleged war crimes, in the current Gaza hostilities. OHCHR also recorded one detention-abuse conviction during that period. The documented Sde Teiman reservist conviction carried seven months of actual imprisonment, not 30 days. A separate five-soldier Sde Teiman indictment was later dropped in 2026.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Separate the propositions: accountability has been extremely limited according to OHCHR; the exact total number of prosecutions depends on defined offenses and procedural stage; and the documented Sde Teiman conviction resulted in seven months' imprisonment. Do not use "two prosecutions" or "30 days" without a matched case list.

SOURCE BASIS: OHCHR A/HRC/61/26 (16 Feb 2026); IDF Sde Teiman verdict, 6 Feb 2025; UN 2026 reporting on later withdrawal of other Sde Teiman charges.
Response transcript p. 9

E-14 Failure to prosecute genocide is itself "one of the genocide charges"	MISLEADING / OVERSTATED
--	-------------------------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says failure to prosecute genocide is one of the genocide charges.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The Convention creates state duties to prevent and punish genocide, and a state can bear international responsibility for failing those duties. But failure to prosecute is not one of the five Article II acts that constitutes the completed crime of genocide.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct formulation: failure to prevent or punish can generate separate state responsibility under the Convention; it is not itself an Article II genocidal act.

SOURCE BASIS: Genocide Convention; UN Commission of Inquiry 2025.
Response transcript p. 9

E-15 Around 200 journalists were "murdered"**MISLEADING / OVERSTATED**

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says about 200 journalists were murdered.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The scale of journalist/media-worker deaths is well documented, but "murdered" is a legal/factual conclusion that must be assessed case by case. CPJ completed a comprehensive review on 24 September 2026 of 229 deaths it had examined in Israel and the occupied Palestinian territory, removing 22 individuals previously listed as journalists, reinstating six, and reclassifying 12 as new information changed case assessments. CPJ continues to distinguish people killed from cases it has sufficient evidence to classify as deliberately targeted for their work.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Use: "roughly 200 or more journalists/media workers have been documented killed in the conflict, with CPJ separately identifying cases it considers targeted killings." Do not label every journalist death "murder" absent incident-specific evidence and legal adjudication.

SOURCE BASIS: Committee to Protect Journalists, comprehensive review published 24 Sep 2026, plus CPJ casualty/targeted-killing methodology.
Response transcript p. 9

E-17 Famine does not require deaths / death is not part of the definition**FALSE**

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says a famine does not require deaths and that death is not part of the definition.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Under the IPC global area-level famine standard, famine includes extreme food deprivation, very high acute malnutrition, and excess mortality. The crude death rate threshold is at least 2 deaths per 10,000 people per day. Household-level IPC Phase 5 Catastrophe can exist without the entire area meeting famine thresholds.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct formulation: the IPC area-level famine classification includes a mortality threshold; catastrophic household conditions can exist even when the area as a whole does not meet all famine thresholds.

SOURCE BASIS: IPC FAQ / Famine classification standard.
Response transcript p. 10

E-19 The "Red Lines" food document was from 2018**FALSE**

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson dates the Israeli "Red Lines" food-consumption document to 2018.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The relevant Ministry of Defense/COGAT presentations are dated 1 January and 27 January 2008, not 2018. The released materials were described by COGAT as drafts that were not used as the basis for implementing civilian policy, while Gisha argued contemporaneous quotas closely tracked parts of the calculations.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct the date to January 2008. When discussing policy effect, distinguish what the draft calculated from the separate dispute over whether and how the calculations were operationalized.

SOURCE BASIS: COGAT "Food Consumption in the Gaza Strip - Red Lines" presentations, Jan 2008, released after litigation; OCHA 2013 summary.
Response transcript p. 10

E-20 The Red Lines document proves 530 trucks per week were required, therefore 180/day is inadequate and over 500/day is required

MISLEADING / OVERSTATED

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson cites the Red Lines document as requiring about 530 trucks per week, then contrasts that with later aid figures and says Gaza needs more than 500 trucks per day.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The first arithmetic component is substantially right: the 2008 Red Lines working assumption authorized 106 trucks carrying basic humanitarian products per business day, five business days per week, which equals about 530 such truck entries across a five-day workweek, with wheat/animal feed handled separately. But that number was a 2008 model/working assumption tied to a defined basic basket and contemporaneous internal production; it is not a timeless modern humanitarian requirement. The later "about 500 trucks per working day" pre-Oct. 7 figure is a different metric covering the broad flow of commercial goods, humanitarian goods and fuel, with the vast majority commercial. Conflating the two produces a false comparison.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct formulation: Red Lines used 106 basic-humanitarian-product trucks per business day in its 2008 framework - about 530 over five business days - plus separate grain flows. The often-cited prewar ~500 trucks/day figure describes total commercial + humanitarian + fuel traffic, not the same minimum-needs calculation. Neither figure by itself proves the current required daily humanitarian volume.

SOURCE BASIS: COGAT Red Lines presentations, Jan 2008; OCHA 2013 "Fragmented Lives" summary; UN/OCHA pre-Oct. 7 truck-flow briefings describing ~500 truckloads per working day and noting most were commercial. Response transcript p. 10

E-21 Israel controlled the totality of material entering Gaza before the war

MISLEADING / OVERSTATED

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Israel controlled all material entering Gaza before October 7.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Israel exercised extensive control over imports from or via Israel and imposed dual-use restrictions. But the literal "totality" is too categorical: OCHA documents substantial goods entering from Egypt via the Egyptian-controlled Rafah crossing and the adjacent Salah ad-Din Gate controlled by local authorities. In 2022, OCHA recorded 32,353 truckloads from Egypt alongside 74,096 general-goods truckloads entering from Israel.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Say: Israel exercised extensive and consequential control over Gaza's access regime and goods entering from/via Israel, but substantial goods also entered through Egypt. Do not describe Israel as the direct controller of literally every material entry route.

SOURCE BASIS: OCHA, Movement in and out of Gaza in 2022; OCHA monthly crossing updates. Response transcript p. 10

E-23 Israel "razed all the farmland" in Gaza	FALSE
--	-------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says Israel razed all of Gaza’s farmland.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: Agricultural devastation is extreme, but "all" is false and it also conflates physical damage with access restrictions. FAO/UNOSAT assessed that as of 24 June 2026, 4,091 hectares - 27% of Gaza cropland - remained accessible, but only 448 hectares, about 3% of total cropland, were both accessible and undamaged. Much of the loss of usable land therefore reflects a combination of damage and inaccessibility, not literal physical razing of every field.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Use the measured result: only about 3% of Gaza cropland was both accessible and undamaged as of 24 June 2026. Do not say every hectare was physically razed.

SOURCE BASIS: FAO/UNOSAT cropland assessment, published 18 Aug 2026.
Response transcript p. 10

E-27 There were three ICJ injunctions/warnings before May 2024 telling Israel it was committing genocide	FALSE
--	-------

PETERSON RESPONSE: Peterson says there were three separate ICJ injunctions/warnings before May 2024 and treats them as warnings that Israel was committing genocide.

EVIDENCE / LOGIC: The Court issued provisional-measures orders on 26 January, 28 March and 24 May 2024. Only the first two were before May; the third was issued on 24 May. The orders imposed/reaffirmed binding provisional measures under the Genocide Convention, but they were not final merits judgments that genocide had been committed. The Court’s provisional-measures stage assesses prima facie jurisdiction, plausible rights and risk/urgency rather than finally adjudicating state responsibility.

CLEAR CORRECTION: Correct formulation: the ICJ issued provisional-measures orders in January, March and May 2024. They imposed and expanded binding interim measures; they did not constitute a final finding that Israel had committed genocide.

SOURCE BASIS: ICJ South Africa v. Israel, Orders of 26 Jan, 28 Mar and 24 May 2024; ICJ press release 2024/47.
Response transcript p. 11